# Town of Kittery Maine Town Planning Board Meeting January 28, 2021 

## 4 Pepperell Road-Shoreland Development Plan Review

Action: Accept or deny plan as complete; continue application to a subsequent meeting, schedule site walk and/or public hearing; approve or deny plan: Pursuant to §16.7.3.3.B Nonconforming structure repair and/or expansion and $\S 16.10 .3 .4$ Shoreland Development Review of the Town of Kittery Land Use and Development Code, the Planning Board shall consider an after-the-fact permit application from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio with an enclosed screened porch and expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.

## PROJECT TRACKING

| REQ'D | ACTION | COMMENTS | STATUS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | Sketch Plan | Not pursued | Not pursued |
| No | Site Visit | At the Board's discretion | To be determined-PB discretion |
| Yes | Completeness/Acceptance | Scheduled for the $1 / 28 / 21$ | Ongoing |
| No | Public Hearing | At the Board's discretion | To be determined-PB discretion |
| Yes | Plan Approval be held at the 1/28/21 meeting | Pending |  |
| Applicant: Plan Review Notes reflect comments and recommendations regarding applicability of Town Land Use Development Code, and <br> standard planning and development practices. Only the PB makes final decisions on code compliance and approves, approves with conditions <br> or denies final plans. Prior to the signing of the approved Plan any Conditions of Approval related to the Findings of Fact along with <br> waivers and variances (by the BOA) must be placed on the Final Plan and, when applicable, recorded at the York County Registry of <br> Deeds. PLACE THE MAP AND LOT NUMBER IN 1/4" HIGH LETTERS AT LOWER RIGHT BORDER OF ALL PLAN <br> SHEETS. As per Section 16.4.4.13 - Grading/Construction Final Plan Required. - Grading or construction of roads, grading of land or lots, <br> or construction of buildings is prohibited until the original copy of the approved final plan endorsed has been duly recorded in the York |  |  |  |
| County registry of deeds when applicable. |  |  |  |

## Project Introduction

This past spring, the applicant approached the Planning Department seeking approval to expand a deck that overlooks Spruce Creek. A preliminary site plan illustrated a preexisting deck of 40 -sf to be expanded by approximately 84 -sf. to a total in area at 114 -sf. Additionally, the applicant proposed a revegetation expansion of $58-\mathrm{ft}$ to off-set the proposed deck expansion. During the course of the proposal's review, the Planning Department discovered that the existing deck attached to the house was unpermitted by Code Enforcement and presented itself as a safety hazard resulting from the deck being supported by a suspended structure (chain-links). Given the observed code violations, the applicant was compelled to remove the structure. Moreover, the deck was an unpermitted expansion of a legally nonconforming structure, pursuant to §16.7.3.3.B(3)(e)[5](a) ${ }^{1}$. Typically, the matter would have been settled at that point, but the illegal deck had a doorway leading into the house. The absence of any outdoor landing area would have created a more dangerous situation as there is a significant drop in elevation at the point of egress. Understanding this and taken into consideration that the applicant desired more sitting area space at that location, the Planning

[^0]Department and Code Enforcement sought to work with the applicant to brainstorm various design conceptions that would comply with applicable zoning ordinances for that location. To the south of the proposed area of work is an existing earthen patio area that is elevated slightly and supported by vegetation and some stonework. It was agreed upon by all parties that if something similar was constructed and no structural attachments were to be affixed and attached to the earthen patio area and the dwelling unit, it would be permitted. The idea was to create natural vegetation patio mound whose soils were supported primarily by vegetation and some stone work. At the meeting's conclusion, the applicant was instructed to come up with a plan and submit a building permit application for review.
A building permit application was submitted on June 9, 2020 stating that an $8^{\prime} \times 12$ earth and stone patio was to be built with no further described structural attachments thereto. The applicant and Code Enforcement Officers were corresponding intermittently throughout the summer with communication tapering off by early autumn. The application remained dormant thereafter with no final inspection request submitted by the applicant. On November 12, 2020, Code Enforcement observed the construction of a stone retaining wall with an attached screen porch and subsequently filed a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to the applicant. The NOV stated that the attached screened-in structure was outside the scope of the original building permit. The applicant was directed to either remove the screened enclosure, apply for an after-thefact shoreland development plan with the Planning Board, or appeal the NOV to the Board of Appeals ("BOA")

## Analysis and Staff Commentary

The Shoreland Development Plan application before the Board seeks approval for an after-the-fact permit for a patio enclosed by a retaining wall and screened area. The applicant is requesting a wavier for §16.10.10.1.B(1) to not submit a completed shoreland development site plan, however, a preliminary survey is on file and included in the Board's packets that essentially depicts the existing and proposed conditions.

Considering the waiver request and the information in-hand, the application appears to be complete in the instance the Board were to grant a waiver from §16.10.10.1.B(1). As the Board can discern from the plan that was provided, the lot has a large devegetation rate $(44.2 \%)$. This rate would not have changed if the proposed earthen patio was constructed in accordance with the parameters of the issued building permit. Nevertheless, the patio as it is currently is constituted may exceed the devegetation rate of (44.2\%) by approximately 96 -sf as a result of patio having an enclosed screen porch.
The inclusion of the screened enclosure affixed to the patio is in clear violation of §16.7.3.3.B(3)(e)[5](a) as it presence constitutes an expansion of a legally nonconforming structure under the base zone setback towards the high water line. This structure at the minimum should be directed to be removed.

The constitution of the patio needs to be debated by the Board. Specifically, the Board needs to decided if the patio as it is currently constructed satisfies the provisions under §16.3.2.17.D(2)(b) ${ }^{2}$. If it is decided that it does not comply with the aforementioned standard, then the Board should direct the applicant on what would be permissible, if anything at all.
In conclusion, the patio was constructed above the scope of the building permit and what was the agreed to by Town staff during their site visit with the applicant. There was a good faith effort to find a solution that would meet the needs of the applicant and the applicable zoning ordinances. Be that as it may, the evidence confirms that there are a few code violations with this application which requires a Planning Board remedy. The NOV gave the applicant the multiple recourses: remove only the screened enclosure in order to satisfy

[^1]its conditions, seek approval from the Planning Board for what was built, or appeal the NOV to the BOA. Ultimately, the applicant elected to seek approval from the Planning Board for what was built.

## Planning Board Procedural Steps

After the Board has been presented with the application and deliberation has exhausted, the following procedural sequence must take place:

1. Plan acceptance: Before the board can move on the application, a vote must occur to accept the plan.
2. Thereafter, the Board should determine if a site visit, public hearing, or both need to occur. If a site walk is necessary, the Board may want to consider scheduling one at their earliest convenience. As regards the public hearing, if desirable, it should be scheduled for February 25, 2021 Planning Board meeting.
3. If a public hearing is not elected to take place, the Board should consider the following:
a. Move to continue the application to a subsequent meeting if more time for consideration is required by the Board,
b. approve with or without conditions; or
c. deny the application

## Recommended Motions

Below are recommended motions for the Board's use and consideration:

## Motion to continue application

Move to continue the shoreland development plan application to the February 14, 2021 Planning Board meeting from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio with an enclosed screened porch and expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.
Motion to schedule a site walk
Move to schedule a site walk on $\qquad$ 2021, for a shoreland development plan application from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio with an enclosed screened porch and expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.

## Motion to schedule public hearing

Move to schedule a public hearing on the February 25, 2021 Planning Board meeting for a shoreland development plan application from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio with an enclosed screened porch and expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (RKPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.

## Motion to approve with conditions

Move to approve the shoreland development plan application from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio on legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones with the following conditions:

1. Applicant shall remove the enclosed screen porch;
2. Applicant shall demonstrate to the Code Enforcement Office that no expansion of a nonconforming structure has occurred; and
3. Applicant shall comply with the June 9, 2020 building permit conditions..

## Motion to deny

Move to deny the shoreland development plan application from owner/applicant Elizabeth Kirschner requesting approval to maintain a patio with an enclosed screened porch and expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone on real property with an address of 4 Pepperrell Road, (Tax Map 18, Lot 45-4) located in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) Zone and the Shoreland (OZ-SL-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.

Kittery Planning Board
Findings of Fact
For 76 Chauncey Creek Road Shoreland Development Plan Review

WHEREAS: Owner/applicant Sarah Bartlett Upton Rollins requests approval to expand a legally nonconforming dwelling unit on a legally nonconforming lot by 440 -sf within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone located on real property with an address of 76 Chauncey Creek Road, Tax Map 45, Lot 66, in the Residential-Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) zone and the Shoreland (SL-OZ-250) and Resource Protection (OZ-RP) Overlay Zones.

| REQ'D | ACTION | COMMENTS | STATUS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | Sketch Plan | Not pursued | Not pursued |
| No | Site Visit | At the Board's discretion | To be determined- <br> PB discretion |
| Yes | Completeness/Acceptance | Scheduled for the $1 / 28 / 21$ | Ongoing |
| No | Public Hearing | At the Board's discretion | To be determined- <br> PB discretion |
| Yes | Plan Approval | May be held at the $1 / 28 / 21$ meeting | Pending |

And pursuant to the application and plan and other documents considered to be a part of a plan review decision by the Planning Board in this Finding of Fact consisting of the following (hereinafter the "Plan"):

1. Shoreland Development Plan Application, dated $12 / 22 / 2020$

NOW THEREFORE, based on the entire record before the Planning Board and pursuant to the applicable standards in the Land Use and Development Code, the Planning Board makes the following factual findings and conclusions:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

## Chapter 16.3 LAND USE ZONE REGULATIONS

16.3.2.17.D(1)(d)

Standard: The total footprint of devegetated area must not exceed $20 \%$ of the lot area located within the Shoreland Overlay Zone, except in the following zones:

> [1] Mixed-Use - Badgers Island (MU-BI) and Mixed-Use - Kittery Foreside (MU-KF) Zones, where the maximum devegetated area is $60 \%$. The Board of Appeals may approve a miscellaneous appeal application to increase allowable devegetated area in the Mixed-Use Badgers Island (MU-B1) Zone to $70 \%$ where it is clearly demonstrated that no practicable alternative exists to accommodate a water-dependent use.
> [2] Commercial (C1, C-2, C-3), Business - Local (B-L and B-L1) and Industrial (IND) Zones where the maximum devegetated area is $70 \%$.
> [3] Residential - Urban ( $R$-U) Zone where the lot is equal to or less than 10,000 square feet, the maximum devegetated area is $50 \%$.

Findings: The proposed shoreland development application depicts a pre-existing devegetation rate of $44.2 \%$, however, the patio with the enclosed screen porch would exceed that devegetation rate.

Accordingly, the applicant shall remove the screened enclosed porch in order to come into compliance with this standard.
Conclusion: The standard appears to be unmet until remedial actions are taken.

| Vote: __ in favor__ against __ abstaining |
| :--- |
| 16.3.2.17.D(2)(b) |
| Standard: Accessory patios or decks no larger than 500 square feet in area must be set back at least 75 <br> feet from the normal high-water line of any water bodies, tributary streams, the upland edge of a coastal <br> wetland, or the upland edge of a freshwater wetland. Other patios and decks must satisfy the normal <br> setback required for principal structures in the Shoreland Overlay Zone. |

Findings: The proposed shoreland development application proposes a patio that is below the $75-\mathrm{ft}$ setback and is made of materials and constructed in a manner that was not permitted under the June 9, 2020 building permit. The applicant shall reconstruct the patio that complies with said building permit.

Conclusion: The standard appears to be unmet until remedial actions are taken.
Vote: __ in favor __ against __ abstaining

## Chapter 16.7 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS Article III Nonconformance

### 16.7.3.1 Prohibitions and Allowances

Standard: A. Except as otherwise provided in this Article, a nonconforming condition must not be permitted to become more nonconforming
Finding: The applicant is proposing an expansion to a legally nonconforming structure that does not comply with the standards within the Article.
Conclusion The requirement appears to be unmet until remedial actions are taken.

|  |
| :--- |
| 16.7.3.3.B(3)(e)[5][a] |
| Standard: For structures located less than the base zone setback from the normal high-water line of a |
| water body, tributary stream, or upland edge of a coastal or freshwater wetland, the maximum combined |
| total footprint for all structures may not be expanded to a size greater than 1,000 square feet, or $30 \%$ |
| larger than the footprint that existed on January 1, 1989, whichever is greater. The maximum height of |
| any portion of a structure that is located in the base zone setback may not be made greater than 20 feet, |
| or the height of the existing structure, whichever is greater. |

Finding: The applicant is proposing an expansion of the legally nonconforming dwelling unit by 96 -sf, below the base zone setback of the Kittery Point Village (R-KPV) zoning district. This is an unpermitted expansion. All proposed expansions of the nonconforming structure shall be deconstructed and removed from the property.

Conclusion The requirement appears to be unmet until remedial actions are taken.
Vote: __ in favor___ against __ abstaining
16.10.10.2 Procedure for Administering Permits
D. An application will be approved or approved with conditions if the reviewing authority makes a positive finding based on the information presented. It must be demonstrated the proposed use will:

Standard: 1. Maintain safe and healthful conditions;
Finding: The proposed application as represented in the plans and application does not appear to have an adverse impact.
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.


Vote: __ in favor __ against __ abstaining

## Standard: 7. Not adversely affect existing commercial fishing or maritime activities in a commercial fisheries/maritime activities district;

Finding: The proposed application does not appear to have an adverse impact on commercial fishing and maritime activities om the commercial/maritime activities district.
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.

|  |
| :--- |
| Standard: 8. Avoid problems associated with floodplain development and use; |
| Finding: Portions of the property are located in the FEMA Flood Zone (AE-100 year). The proposed |
| patio appears to be outside this zone. Accordingly, the proposed expansion does not appear to have an |
| impact on the current floodplain or flood-prone area. |

Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.

| Vote: __ in favor__ against __ abstaining |
| :--- |
| Standard: 9. Is in conformance with the provisions of this code; |

Finding: The proposed development does not comply with the applicable standards of Title 16.
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be unmet.

| Vote:___in favor__ against__ abstaining |
| :--- |
| Standard: 10. Be recorded with the York county Registry of Deeds. |
| Finding: the Planning Board waived the requirement for a shoreland development site plan, thus no |
| plan will be recorded at the York County Registry of Deeds. |
| Conclusion: This standard appears to be met. |

NOW THEREFORE the Kittery Planning Board adopts each of the foregoing Findings of Fact and based on these Findings determines the proposed Development will have no significant detrimental impact, and the Kittery Planning Board hereby grants final approval for the Development at the above referenced property, including any waivers granted or conditions as noted.

## Waivers:

1. $\S 16 \cdot 10.10 .1 . \mathrm{B}(1)$

## Conditions of Approval

1. No changes, erasures, modifications or revisions may be made to any Planning Board approved final plan unless conforming with the provision under §16.10.9.2 and §16.10.9.3.
2. Applicant/contractor will follow Maine DEP Best Management Practices for all work associated with site and building construction to ensure adequate erosion control and slope stabilization.
3. With the exception of the vegetation identified on the plan for removal, no vegetation will be removed without prior approval by the Code Enforcement Officer or the Shoreland Resource Officer. Efforts to protect existing vegetation must be in place prior to grading or construction. The rock fill shall not replace existing vegetation.
4. Prior to the commencement of onsite construction, areas to remain undisturbed must be clearly marked with stakes and caution tape. All stakes, caution tape, silt fences, and other materials used during construction must remain until all onsite work is completed. Prior to removal, written permission to remove such materials must be given by the Code Enforcement Officer.
5. All Notices to Applicant contained herein (Findings of Fact dated 1/28/2021).

Conditions of Approval (not to be depicted on final plan):

1. Prior to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall remove the enclosed screen porch;
2. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, applicant shall demonstrate to the Code Enforcement Office that no expansion of a nonconforming structure has occurred; and
3. Applicant shall comply with the June 9, 2020 building permit conditions..

The Planning Board authorizes the Planning Board Chair, or Vice Chair, to sign the Final Plan and the Findings of Fact upon confirmation of required plan changes.
Vote: __ in favor __ against __ abstaining
APPROVED BY THE KITTERY PLANNING BOARD ON

Dutch Dunkelberger, Planning Board Chair

## Notices to Applicant:

1. Incorporate any plan revisions on the final plan as required by Planning Board and submit for Staff review prior to presentation of final mylar.
2. Prior to the release of the signed plans, the applicant must pay all outstanding fees associated with the permitting, including, but not limited to, Town Attorney fees, peer review, newspaper advertisements and abutter notification.
3. One (1) mylar copy of the final plan and any and all related state/federal permits or legal documents that may be required, must be submitted to the Town Planning Department for signing. Date of Planning Board approval shall be included on the final plan in the Signature Block. After the signed plan is recorded with the York County Registry of Deeds, a mylar copy of the signed original must be submitted to the Town Planning Department.
4. This approval by the Town Planning Board constitutes an agreement between the Town and the Developer, incorporating as elements the Development Plan and supporting documentation, the Findings of Fact, and any Conditions of Approval.

## Appeal of Decision:

1. Per Title 16.6.2.A - An aggrieved party with legal standing may appeal a final decision of the Planning Board to the York County Superior Court in accordance with Maine Rules of Civil Procedures Section 80B, within forty-five (45) days from the date the decision by the Planning Board was rendered.

## SHORELAND DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPLICATION

TOWN OF KITTERY
Planning \& Development Department
200 Rogers Road, Kittery, ME 03904
Telephone: 207-475-1323 Fax: 207-439-6806

MAP $\qquad$ LOT $\qquad$ DATE: $\qquad$
FEE: $\$ 200.00$
ASA*: $\qquad$



I certify I have provided, to the best of my knowledge, information requested for this application that is true and correct and I will not deviate from the Plan submitted without notifying the Planning and Development Department of any changes.

*Applicant Service Accounts: Fees to pay other direct costs necessary to complete the application process, not including application fees. Title 3, Chapter 3.3.


Development Plan must include the following existing and proposed information:

| Existing: | Proposed: (Plan must show the lightened existing topography under the proposed project plan for comparison.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Zones and boundaries <br> Topographic map (optional) <br> Wetlands and flood plains <br> Water bodies and water courses <br> Parcel area <br> Lot dimensions <br> Utilities (Sewer/septic, water, electric, phone) <br> Streets, driveways and rights-of-way <br> Structures <br> Distance from structure to water body and property lines <br> Floor area, volume, devegetated area, and building coverage | $\square$ Recreation |
|  | Setback lines and building envelopes |
|  | $\square$ Lot dimensions |
|  | $\square$ Utilities (Sewer/septic, water, electric, pho |
|  | $\square$ Streets, driveways and rights-of-way |
|  | $\square$ Structures |
|  | $\square$ Floor area, volume, devegetated area, and building coverage |
|  | Distance to: |
|  | Nearest driveways and intersections |
|  | $\square$ Nearest fire hydrant |
|  | Nearest significant water body; ocean, |

## Expansion/Construction Analysis within the Shoreland Overlay Zone ${ }^{1}$

(see Table 16.9)
Size of water body or wetland: $\square<500$ sf $\square<501$ sf-1 acre $\square>1$ acre Structure distance from water body: $\qquad$ feet $\qquad$ sur


| PARCEL DE-VEGETATION | \% Allowed* | Existing SF | Proposed SF | \% Proposed* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size (sf) | $\%$ | sf | sf | $\%$ |
| "See underlying zone standards for de-vegetated area percent allowed within a Shoreland Overlay. |  |  |  |  |


| BUILDING COVERAGE | \% Allowed* | Existing SF | Proposed SF | \% Proposed* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size (sf) | $\%$ | sf | sf | $\%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Calculations for area, volume, and de-vegetated areas must be included on the final plan and certified by a State of Maine registered architect, landscape architect, engineer, or land surveyor.

# Dave Evans 

Town of Kittery
Planning and Development Department
200 Rogers Road
Kittery, ME 03904

Dear Dave Evans,

I'm writing in regards to the town's objection to my back patio, per our discussion. From what I understand, it's the screens and corrugated roof that seem to be the problem, neither of which can be considered a "permanent structure."

The patio, of course, is a permanent structure as it was built in accordance to everything we discussed when you and two other town members visited the property last spring.

Constructed of stone and earth, Peter Garland, my builder, even built the silt socks which surround the base such that no water can leach into Spruce Creek. I then added additional plantings to "hold" the soil.

As you know, I ripped out a third of my driveway to create more gardens, hence revegetating as I went along. All this was done, at considerable expense, beginning with the property survey, which was conducted last spring.

If I understand correctly, the issue concerns the permit, which Peter pulled for me as I had trouble accessing the application on-line. I assure you, and the town, that the patio would not have been screened in, nor the corrugated roof added, if we believed we were not in accordance. The reason is obvious: the back of my house is highly visible; nothing can be hid.

Both Peter and I have a vivid recollection of asking very specific questions regarding the project as it was extremely important to both us that everything be in compliance, hence we acted in good faith and it seems to me that good faith is extremely valuable and necessary, especially in lieu of the ongoing pandemic.

I will, per your recommendation, fill out the application for a post-project Planning Board review. It is my hope that the project will be approved, as is.

The back patio is a modest, but lovely addition to my home, a home I've always wanted to keep "right-sized" and in accordance with its beautiful surroundings.

As I'm now 65, my hope is stay in and care for this property as long as I am able. I love Kittery Point, and as a tax-paying citizen, I would never willfully violate anything that would affect the environment I live in. It's simply too precious, this place and our wonderful community.

Respectfully yours,


Elizabeth Kirschner

## BP-20-133

## Building Permit

Status: Active

## Applicant

peter Garland p90x180@gmail.com
29 Wentworth St Kittery, ME 03904 2079940662

## Owner Information

Are you the owner of the property No

Property Owner Mailing Address
4 pepperrell rd kittery point maine

Applicant/Primary Contractor

## Contractor's Name

Peter Garland
License \#
$\qquad$

## License Type

## Corporation/Partnership/LLC License \#

City
Kittery
Zip Code
03904
Alternative Phone \#
$\qquad$

Date Created: Jun 09, 2020

## Location

4 PEPPERRELL ROAD
KITTERY, ME
Owner:
KIRSCHNER, ELIZABETH
4 PEPPERRELL ROAD , null, KITTERY, ME 03905

Business Name
--
License Expiration Date --

Type of Business
--

Mailing Address
29 wentworth st

## State

Me
Preferred Telephone \#
2079940662
Email
p90x180@gmail.com

Property Information
Proposed Distance of Structure to Front Yard Property Line
60ft
Proposed Distance of Structure to Side/Rear Yard Property Line
20ft
Proposed Distance of Structure to Fresh/Coastal Wetland
57.2 ft

Disturbing More than 1 CY of earth in the Shoreland or Resource Protection Overlay Zone?
No
MDEP Erosion Control Certification \#
--

Is the property located within a FEMA Floodplain? If so, a FEMA Minor Development application may be required. Please check with Code Enforcement Officer.
No
Is the Lot or Existing Structure(s) non-conforming for size, frontage, setbacks or other zoning requirement?
No

## Project Description

Complete description of project, including dimensions of any proposed structures:
$8^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ earth and stone patio at the north westernmost corner of the house
Estimated Project Cost (Do not include the dollar symbol [\$]).
750
Property Type

## Project Type

Residential
New Construction
Will this project create one acre or more of disturbed area? If yes, you will be required to file a MDEP Notice of Intent to Comply with the Maine Construction Permit. Excavation will require a Maine Dep certified contractor in erosion control measures.

No
Is this project to construct a new dwelling (Single-Family, Duplex, etc.) or Mobile Home Construction?
No
Is this project on public sewer or private septage?
Public Sewer

Registered Professional Engineer (IF APPLICABLE)
Name
--

Mailing Address
--
Email
--
Registration \#
$\qquad$

Registered Architect (IF APPLICABLE)

| Name | Company Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | -- |
| Mailing Address | Phone \# |
| -- | -- |
| Email | Registration \# |
| -- | -- |
| Expiration Date |  |

## Certification

I certify that the information contained in this application and any related submissions to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that I am responsible for compliance with all applicable Town, State and Federal regulations and that failure to comply may result in the imposition of fines, legal fees, and the abatement of any violations to include abandonment of use and occupancy and corrective action such as the removal or modification of improvements if setbacks or other requirements have not been met and satisfied. I understand that this is an application and that I shall not begin any improvements until the appropriate permit(s) is/are issued nor will I make use of the improvements without first having obtained an occupancy permit. I will notify the Code Enforcement Officer of any changes to this application. A PERMIT ISSUED IS SUBJECT TO APPLICABLE ORDINANCES AND MUBEC AS ADOPTED BY THE STATE OF MAINE AND TOWN OF KITTERY AND IS ONLY VALID FOR WORK AS DESCRIBED ON THIS PERMIT APPLICATION.
$\boxed{\bullet}$

I am the
Contractor

## Property Owner Name

Elizabeth Kirschner

Applicant/Contractor Name
Peter Garland
Date
06/09/2020

Internal Only - For Building Permit
Permit Fee (enter Fee amount only)

Conditions of Approval/Staff Comments

## Attachments



Kurshner.jpeg

## History

## Date

Jun 092020 2:53 pm
Jun 092020 3:03 pm
Jun 092020 3:03 pm
Aug 132020 2:11 pm
Aug 132020 2:11 pm
Aug 132020 2:41 pm
Aug 172020 1:22 pm
Aug 172020 1:22 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm
Aug 172020 1:43 pm

## Activity

peter Garland started a draft of Record BP-20-133
peter Garland submitted Record BP-20-133
approval step Code Department Review was assigned to Dave Evans on Record BP-20-133
Dave Evans approved approval step Code Department Review on Record BP-20-133
approval step Finance Review was assigned to Pauline Brewster on Record BP-20-133
Pauline Brewster approved approval step Finance Review on Record BP-20-133
completed payment step Permit Fee on Record BP-20-133
approval step Plan Review/Inspection Approval was assigned to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis assigned approval step Residential Sewer Approval to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis assigned approval step Residential DPW Approval to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis assigned approval step Assessing Review to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis approved approval step Plan Review/Inspection Approval on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis waived approval step Residential Sewer Approval on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis waived approval step Residential DPW Approval on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis waived approval step Assessing Review on Record BP-20-133
approval step Code Department Final Approval was assigned to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis approved approval step Code Department Final Approval on Record BP-20-133
inspection step Rough Inspection was assigned to Craig Alfis on Record BP-20-133
Craig Alfis altered Record BP-20-133, changed expirationDate from "" to "Aug 172022 4:00AM"

TOWn OF Kittery
Code Enforcement Officer
200 Rogers Road, Kittery, ME 03904
Telephone: (207) 475-1308 Fax: (207) 439-6806

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND ORDER TO ABATE

November 12, 2020
Elizabeth Kirschner
C/O Verrill; Attn. Kenneth Doran
1 Portland Square
Portland, ME 04101

Location: $\quad 4$ Pepperrell Road \#4, Kittery Point, ME 03905
Tax Map 18 Lot 45-4

Dear Ms. Kirschner,
TAKE NOTICE that it has come to the attention of the Code Enforcement Office, through observations made from adjacent properties and the right-of-way that the patio constructed on the property in the summer of 2020 was built beyond the scope of the building permit and is now considered an unpermitted enclosed patio. A list of violations is attached as Exhibit A.

Pictures taken of the violation on or about November 12, 2020 are included as Exhibit B. These pictures show that presence of the enclosed patio, which was built beyond the scope of the original building permit issued on August 17, 2020. The building permit and record of the permit is included as Exhibit C.

Due to the nature of the violations, you are hereby ordered to either remove the structure within 30 days of receipt of this letter including the roof and walls of the enclosed patio; or, you may seek after-the-fact Planning Board Approval and must submit a completed application to appear before the Planning Board within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Failure to comply within 30 days will result in fines assessed in accordance with § 16.4.5.I(1)(a):
Fine imposed: $\$ 200$ for the first seven-day period the violation continues beyond the time specified for corrective action. Thereafter, each day the violation continues, a separate violation with an additional minimum of $\$ 100$ per day penalty for each day of the continuing violation up to a maximum penalty imposed of $\$ 2,500$ for each specific violation, or the maximum as provided by 30-A M.R.S. § 4452, if greater.

You may appeal this decision by Administrative Appeal to the Board of Appeals within 30 days of the date of this letter pursuant to Town Code $\S 16.6 .4$.A and $\S 16.6 .5$.A.

Sincerely,

David Evans
Assistant Code Enforcement Officer
200 Rogers Road
Kittery, Maine 03904
207-475-1305

## Exhibit A

Ordinance Violation List

| Code Cite | Code Provision | Observation <br> Town Code <br> 16.5.2.A |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Permit. No building, including municipal buildings, or <br> structure may be erected, moved, added to or otherwise <br> structurally altered and no regulated activity is to <br> commence without a permit, issued by the Code <br> Enforcement Officer and in compliance with all <br> applicable state and federal requirements. | Enclosed patio located <br> where only an open <br> patio was permitted. <br> Enclosure of the patio <br> is beyond the scope of <br> the issued building <br> permit. |  |  |
| Internationa <br> 1 Building <br> Code 2015 <br> R105.3 | Application for permit. To obtain a permit, the <br> applicant shall first file an application therefor in <br> writing on a form furnished by the department of <br> building safety for that purpose. Such application shall: <br> 1. Identify and describe the work to be covered by <br> the permit for which application is made. | Enclosed patio was not <br> part of the submitted <br> plan to build a patio |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Exhibit B



## Exhibit C




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Land Use and Development Code, Town of Kittery, §16.7.3.3.B(3)(e)[5][a]: For structures located less than the base zone setback from the normal high-water line of a water body, tributary stream, or upland edge of a coastal or freshwater wetland, the maximum combined total footprint for all structures may not be expanded to a size greater than 1,000 square feet, or 30\% larger than the footprint that existed on January 1, 1989, whichever is greater. The maximum height of any portion of a structure that is located in the base zone setback may not be made greater than 20 feet, or the height of the existing structure, whichever is greater.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Land Use and Development Code, Town of Kittery, §16.3.2.17.D(2)(b), Accessory patios or decks no larger than 500 square feet in area must be set back at least 75 feet from the normal high-water line of any water bodies, tributary streams, the upland edge of a coastal wetland, or the upland edge of a freshwater wetland. Other patios and decks must satisfy the normal setback required for principal structures in the Shoreland Overlay Zone

