Kittery Planning Board Findings of Fact For 24 Goodwin Road Shoreland Development Plan Review

UNAPPROVED M 58 L 19

WHEREAS: Owner/applicant Gregory Smith and agent Tom Emerson seek approval to expand a legally, non-conforming dwelling unit on a legally, non-conforming lot within the base zone setback of the Shoreland Overlay Zone located on real property with the address of 24 Goodwin Road, Tax Map 58, Lot 19, in the Residential-Rural Conservation Zone (R-RC), Shoreland Overlay Zone (OZ-SL-250'), and Resource Protection Overlay Zone (OZ-RP).

Hereinafter the "Development" and

Pursuant to the Plan Review meetings conducted by the Planning Board as noted in the plan review notes prepared for 5/12/2022

Shoreland Development Plan Review	7/14/2022
Approval	7/14/2022

And pursuant to the application and plan and other documents considered to be a part of a plan review decision by the Planning Board in this Finding of Fact consisting of the following (hereinafter the "Plan"):

- 1. Shoreland Development Plan Application, received June 29, 2022
- 2. Shoreland Development Plan, North Easterly Surveying, dated February 14, 2022
- 3. Architectural Drawings and Calculations, B-E Studios, dated June 14, 2022

NOW THEREFORE, based on the entire record before the Planning Board and pursuant to the applicable standards in the Land Use and Development Code, the Planning Board makes the following factual findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Chapter 16.4 LAND USE ZONE REGULATIONS

16.4.28.E. Shoreland Overlay Zone

(2)(a) The total footprints of the areas devegetated for structures, parking lots and other impervious surfaces, must not exceed twenty (20) percent of the lot area, including existing development, except in the following zones: ...

Finding: The proposed plan decreases lot's de-vegetated area.

Conclusion: The requirement appears to be met.

Vote: _____ in favor _____ against _____ abstaining

Chapter 16.1 GENERAL PROVISIONS Article VIII General Development Requirements

16.1.8.C(2)(a) Prohibitions and Allowances

A. Except as otherwise provided in this Article, a nonconforming condition must not be permitted to become more nonconforming

<u>Finding:</u> The proposed development does not increase nonconformity of any structure or aspect of the lot. The proposed garage expansion is no more nonconforming to the front setback than the existing dwelling. All other dimensional standards – not including setbacks to the water body – are met.

Conclusion: The requirement appears to be met.

Vote: ____ in favor ____ against ____ abstaining

16.1.8.C(4) Nonconforming Structures16.1.8.C.(4)(b) Nonconforming structure repair and/or expansion16.1.C.8.(4)(b)[3](e)[v][A]

For structures located less than the base zone setback from the normal high-water line of a water body, tributary stream, or upland edge of a coastal or freshwater wetland, the maximum combined total footprint for all structures may not be expanded to a size greater than 1,000 square feet, or 30% larger than the footprint that existed on January 1, 1989, whichever is greater. The maximum height of any portion of a structure that is located within the base zone setback may not be made greater than 20 feet, or the height of the existing structure, whichever is greater.

<u>Finding:</u> The applicant has provided calculations of the existing structures in place in 1989, which amount to 1,049 square feet, 30% of which is 314.7 square feet, for a total allowable expanded footprint of 1,363.7 square feet (inclusive of all structures). The applicant has provided copies of historical plans and tax assessor documentation as backup for the figures. The plan proposes a total footprint of 1,359.37 square feet, which is under the allowable expansion threshold.

Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.

Vote: ____ in favor ____ against ____ abstaining

Chapter 9 MARITIME AND SHORELAND RELATED DEEVELOPMENT Article III Planning Board Shoreland Development Review

16.9.3.F. Findings of Fact

(2) An application will be approved or approved with conditions if the reviewing authority makes a positive finding based on the information presented. It must be demonstrated the proposed use will: (a). Maintain safe and healthful conditions;

<u>Finding:</u> The proposed development as represented in the plans and application does not appear to have an adverse impact.

Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.

Vote: ____ in favor ____ against ____ abstaining

(b) Not result in water pollution, erosion or sedimentation to surface waters;	
<u>Finding</u> : Maine DEP Best Management practices will be followed for erosion and sedimentation control during site preparation and building construction to avoid impact on adjacent surface waters.	
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	
(c) Adequately provide for the disposal of all wastewater;	
Finding: No changes in the disposal of wastewater are a part of the proposed plan.	
Conclusion: This requirement is not applicable.	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	
(d) Not have an adverse impact on spawning grounds, fish, aquatic life, bird or other wildlife habitat;	
Finding: The proposed development as represented in the plans and application does not appear to have an adverse impact.	
Conclusion: The requirement appears to be met.	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	
(e)Conserve shore cover and visual, as well as actual points of access to inland and coastal waters;	
Finding: Shore cover is conserved in accordance with the Code. There are no points of access.	
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	
(f) Protect archaeological and historic resources;	
Finding: There does not appear to be any resources impacted.	
Conclusion: This requirement appears to be met.	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	
(g) Not adversely affect existing commercial fishing or maritime activities in a commercial fisheries/maritime activities district;	
Finding: The property is not located in the Commercial Fisheries / Maritime Use Zone and will have no adverse effect on commercial fishing nor maritime activities.	
Conclusion: This requirement is not applicable.	
Vote: in favor against abstaining	

Based on the foregoing Findings, the Planning Board finds the applicant has satisfied each of the review standards for approval and, therefore, the Planning Board approves the Shoreland Development Plan Application subject to any conditions or waivers, as follows:

Waivers: None

Conditions of Approval (to be depicted on final plan to be recorded):

- 1. No changes, erasures, modifications or revisions may be made to any Planning Board approved final plan per Title 16.9.3.I.
- 2. Applicant/contractor will follow Maine DEP *Best Management Practices* for all work associated with site and building construction to ensure adequate erosion control and slope stabilization.
- 3. All Notices to Applicant contained herein (Findings of Fact dated 07/14/2022).

Conditions of Approval (not to be depicted on final plan):

1. Incorporate any plan revisions on the final plan as recommended by Staff, Planning Board or Peer Review Engineer, and submit for Staff review prior to presentation on final plan.

Notices to Applicant:

1. Incorporate any plan revisions on the final plan as required by Planning Board and submit for Staff review prior to presentation of final plan.

2. Prior to the release of the signed plans, the applicant must pay all outstanding fees associated with the permitting, including, but not limited to, Town Attorney fees, peer review, newspaper advertisements and abutter notification.

3. One (1) copy of the final plan and any and all related state/federal permits or legal documents that may be required, must be submitted to the Town Planning Department for signing. <u>Date of Planning</u> <u>Board approval shall be included on the final plan in the Signature Block</u>. After the signed plan is recorded with the York County Registry of Deeds, a copy of the signed and recorded original must be submitted to the Town Planning Department.

4. An approved plan for expansion of a non-conforming structure must be recorded by the applicant with the York County Registry of Deeds within 90 days of approval. The recorded plan must show the existing and proposed footprint of the non-conforming structure, the existing and proposed structure height, the footprint of any structures on the parcel, the Shoreland Overlay zone and/or the Resource Protection Overlay zone boundary and evidence of approval by the municipal review authority.

5. This approval by the Town Planning Board constitutes an agreement between the Town and the Developer, incorporating as elements the Development Plan and supporting documentation, the Findings of Fact, and any Conditions of Approval.

The Planning Board authorizes the Planning Board Chair or Vice chair to sign the Final Plan and the Findings of Fact upon confirmation of required plan changes.

Vote: _____ in favor _____ against _____ abstaining

APPROVED BY THE KITTERY PLANNING BOARD ON _____ July 14, 2022____

Dutch Dunkelberger, Planning Board Chair

Per Title 16.2.12. - An aggrieved party with legal standing may appeal a final decision of the Planning Board to the York County Superior Court in accordance with Maine Rules of Civil Procedures Section 80B, within forty-five (45) days from the date the decision by the Planning Board was rendered.