#### 1 2

#### Town of Kittery Planning Board Meeting October 26, 2023

3 4

#### 5 ITEM 4 – 77 Bartlett Road – Conservation Subdivision Plan – Preliminary Review

6 <u>Action: Hold public hearing. Approve plan or continue review.</u> Michael Tadema-Wielandt, on behalf of 7 owner/applicant Geoff Bowley, is proposing to divide a 19.11-acre parcel into a conservation subdivision 8 of 9 single-family residential building lots, a private street system, and an open space plot around identified 9 wetlands, vernal pools, and a pre-existing cemetery. The proposed subdivision is located on the property of 10 77 Bartlett Road, Map 62 Lot 26, in the Residential-Rural (R-RL) and Resource Protection Overlay (OZ-

- 11 RP) Zones.
- 12

#### 13 PROCESS SUMMARY

14

REQ'D	ACTION	COMMENTS	STATUS
YES	Sketch Plan Acceptance/Approval	5/11/23	Accepted
YES	Planning board determination of completeness	8/24/23	Pending
NO	Site Visit	8/21/23	Held
YES	Public Hearing	Scheduled for 9/28/23 Continued to 10/26/23	Pending
YES	Preliminary Plan Approval		TBD
YES	Final Plan Review and Decision		TBD
Applicant: Prior to the signing of the approved Plan any Conditions of Approval related to the Findings of Fact along with			

Applicant: Prior to the signing of the approved Plan any Conditions of Approval related to the Findings of Fact along with waivers and variances (by the BOA) must be placed on the Final Plan and, when applicable, recorded at the York County Registry of Deeds. PLACE THE MAP AND LOT NUMBER IN 1/4" HIGH LETTERS AT LOWER RIGHT BORDER OF ALL PLAN SHEETS. <u>As per Section 16.4.4.L - Grading/Construction Final Plan Required. - Grading or construction of roads</u>, grading of land or lots, or construction of buildings is prohibited until the original copy of the approved final plan endorsed has been duly recorded in the York County registry of deeds when applicable.

15 16

#### 17 **PROJECT INTRODUCTION**

18

19 This is the third preliminary review for a proposed 9-lot conservation subdivision located at 77 Bartlett 20 Road in the R-RL (Residential-Rural) zoning district and partially within the OZ-RP Resource Protection 21 Overlay Zone. The lots are proposed to be accessed from Bartlett Road through a private street system 22 ending in one cul-de-sac, designed to meet the standards of a Class II private street with a 3-foot widened 23 shoulder and a painted strip on the west side for pedestrian movement. Nine proposed lots will all be 24 accessed from the new road. Lot sizes range from approximately 21,000 square feet to 34,000 square feet. 25 The property currently contains a single residential dwelling; the structure will remain on the lot after 26 renovations, and the driveway currently providing access to Bartlett Road will be removed.

27

A private cemetery, located between proposed lots 1 and 2, will be maintained as open space with public access provided. Public water and sewage are unavailable to the property; the developer proposes installing

30 private septic systems and wells for each individual lot. The site contains wetland areas around the proposed

31 subdivision, including two vernal pools (that have not been deemed of significant size by the state) located

32 east of the proposed development, and an area containing a wetland of special significance as well as a

east of the proposed development, and an area containing a wetland of special significance as well 22 flux labels a last the survey of the line is the survey of the surv

33 floodplain abutting the proposed subdivision to the southwest.

The planning board first reviewed this application on August 24<sup>th</sup>, where they accepted the application as complete, scheduled a site walk for September 21<sup>st</sup>, and scheduled a public hearing during the September 28<sup>th</sup> planning board meeting. After plan acceptance, a third-party engineer review of the drainage analysis

identified several concerns and deemed the stormwater management report would have to be resubmitted,
 noting that additional stormwater treatment would likely be required.

41 During the planning board's second review on September 28<sup>th</sup>, the planning board moved to continue the 42 public hearing to the meeting of October 26<sup>th</sup>. The applicant has submitted a revised site plan and 43 stormwater management report incorporating the peer review engineer's feedback, which is currently 44 pending and expected to be completed on October 30<sup>th</sup>. Once the hearing has been completed, staff 45 suggest the planning board advise the applicant based on the feedback provided by the public and peer 46 review (if received in time).

#### WAIVERS REQUESTED

# 1. Sidewalk modification request: Sidewalks are required for all Class II private streets. The applicant is proposing a modification to allow a paved pedestrian travel way along one side of the road instead.

#### STAFF COMMENTS

- 1. Following feedback from the public hearing, the applicant has extended the vegetated buffer between Lot 9 and Bartlett Road. The setback area between Lot 5, Lot 8, and the abutting wetland is now designated a forested stormwater buffer area.
- 2. At the public hearing, a resident expressed concerns that the disturbed soil calculations appeared inaccurate. The planning board requested revised calculations to determine whether the proposal meets the 1-acre threshold of disturbed soil to require a permit from Maine DEP.
  - 3. At the first preliminary review, the planning board requested the applicant show proof that a community water system was too costly to provide instead of private wells for each individual lot.
  - 4. A 40-foot right-of-way is proposed, with a 5-foot grading and drainage easement along both sides of the ROW. The proposed road shall be private and maintained by a homeowner's association.
- 5. Part of the cul-de-sac is within 100 feet of the wetland. **§16.5.30** requires traveled ways greater than 18 feet in width only maintain a 30-foot setback, or 10 feet from the toe of the slope, whichever is greater.
  - 6. The water report confirms the recharge capacity of the aquifer is greater than the anticipated water usage of the proposed wells, and the bedrock is a good site for wells to be dug.
  - 7. The Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife does not anticipate essential habitats to be directly affected by the project. Endangered, threatened, and significant wildlife have not been identified in the parcel area, and impact to nearby identified habitats is not anticipated.
- 8. Because the conservation subdivision ordinance strongly recommends all buildings within the subdivision be designed for maximum energy efficiency per §16.10.6.A.(4), it is suggested that buildings be designed as south-facing whenever possible in this subdivision.
- 79
  9. The conservation subdivision requires low impact development wherever possible. The proposal plans to reuse the materials from the portions of the stone wall to be dismantled and is proposing a forested stormwater buffer adjacent to lots 4, 5, and 8. Staff believe these examples show the applicant has met this requirement.

## 85

#### **PROJECT ANALYSIS**

Code Ref.	§16.4 Land Use Zone Standards		
	Standard	Determination	
§16.4.10.B	Permitted/Special Exception Uses	The proposed subdivision is a permitted use	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(a).	Minimum area per dwelling: 40,000 sq ft.	It appears the standard is satisfied.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(b).	Lot size: 40,000 sq ft minimum	Not all lots meet this standard. Requirements need not be met in a conservation subdivision	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(c).	Street frontage: 150 ft minimum	Not all lots meet this standard. Requirements may be modified in a conservation subdivision.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(d).	Front setback: 40 ft minimum	Not all lots meet this standard. Requirements may be modified in a conservation subdivision.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(e).	Building coverage: 15% maximum	Not all lots meet this standard. Requirements may be modified in a conservation subdivision.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(f).	Rear and side setbacks: 20 ft minimum.	Not all lots meet this standard. Requirements may be modified in a conservation subdivision.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(g).	Building height: 35 ft maximum	It appears the standard is satisfied.	
§16.4.10.E.(2).(i).	Minimum water-body setbacks: up to 100 feet from high-water line of identified wetlands	It appears the standard is satisfied.	
Codo Rof	§16.5 Performance Standards		
	Standard	Determination	
§16.5.4	Affordable housing requirements	Not applicable, as the subdivision has less than 10 lots.	

§16.5.9	Conservation of vernal pools	Identified vernal pools were not deemed significant. Standard setback applies determined by size.
§16.5.10	Essential services	Test pits and well locations have been notated. Underground utilities are proposed. Standards appear to be met
§16.5.11	Floodplain Management	The proposed development is outside of the indicated floodplain. Standards appear to be met.
§16.5.14.B	Lots	The flag-shaped lot proposed in the sketch review has been removed. Lot standards appear to be met, save for issues noted in the table above.
§16.5.18.	Net residential acreage	The standard appears to be satisfied.
§16.5.27	Street Standards	The proposed road appears to meet the standards of a class II private street. The proposed "pedestrian way" is a modification which will require planning board approval.
§16.5.30	All wetlands of 501 sq ft.or greater trigger setbacks for certain uses	Delineation was submitted, and wetlands of special significance have been identified. Standards appear to be met, save for the missing setback mentioned above.
Code Ref	§16.10 Additional Requirements for Conservation Subdivision	
	Standard	Determination
§16.10.4.B	Indicate any proposed public open space and Town Council approval	Standard is not required. Public access is not proposed by applicant, and the cemetery on the property would not be considered a public park.

§16.10.5.C	<ul> <li>Proposed private and water systems must show:</li> <li>adequate groundwater is available.</li> <li>Proposed groundwater sources are safe from on-site and off-site contamination.</li> <li>Proposed individual septic systems will not endanger drinking water supply.</li> <li>The costs of a community water or wastewater system is prohibitively expensive</li> </ul>	Standards appear to be met.
§16.10.5.D	Designated open space to be permanently preserved	Appears to meet minimum open space standards. Proposed configuration requires planning board approval
§16.10.5.E	Minimum lot size with private water/wastewater: 20,000 sq ft	The standard appears to be met
§16.10.5.F	No individual lot may have direct vehicular access onto a public road	All proposed lots will access the proposed private way. The driveway of the existing dwelling currently connecting to Bartlett Road will be removed. Standard appears to be met.
§16.10.5.G	All areas designated as Resource Protection must be protected as open space	The standard appears to be met.
§16.10.5.I	Wetlands designated as open space to have a "no-cut, no disturb" buffer	Staff suggest adding a note in the site plan indicating the identified wetland setbacks will be "no cut, no disturbance" areas, as that is a code requirement.
§16.10.5.J	Al utilities must be installed underground	The standard appears to be met.

§16.10.5.K	All subsurface wastewater disposal areas to be indicated on plan	The standard appears to be met
§16.10.6.F	Vegetated buffer located on front lot line, a minimum width of 40 feet	The standard appears to be met.
§16.10.6.H	Low-impact design must be incorporated into the plan whenever possible	The stormwater drainage plan proposes a forested stormwater buffer on Lot 4. The standard appears to be met.
§16.10.7.A	Open space minimum: 60% of lot, with 40% of that consisting of net residential acreage. Example: in a parcel of 1,000,000 sq ft, 600,000 sq ft (60%) must be open space. Of that 600,000 sq ft, 240,000 (40% of open space, or 24% of total lot) must be included in the net residential acreage calculations.	The open space requirement calculations appear to be off. 40% of the required minimum open space would be 201,754 sq ft. 40% of the proposed open space provided would be 229,014 sq ft. The notes show neither of these numbers. The application appears to have enough land to meet requirements but should revise calculations.
§16.10.7.B	All wetlands, water bodies, and floodplains must be located within open space boundaries	This standard appears to be met.
§16.10.7.C	Significant natural resources or wildlife habitat areas must be designated as open space	This standard appears to be met.
§16.10.7.D	Open space must include any notable features	This standard appears to be met.
§16.10.7.E	All historic, cultural, or archaeological resources must be included as open space	The Payne Cemetery is designated as open space. The standard appears to be met.

§16.10.7.F	Open space areas must be made contiguous to the greatest extent possible	Staff believe this standard has been met, but open space configuration is up to the decision of the planning board.	
§16.10.7.G	Open space may not be mowed unless part of a public park/trail	This standard will be met with the addition of the above mentioned "no cut" buffers.	
§16.10.10	<ul> <li>The homeowner's association will be held responsible for:</li> <li>Maintenance of open space</li> <li>Maintenance public facilities such as road and stormwater systems</li> <li>An initial capital fund required to cover expenses</li> <li>Maintenance and replacement of plantings, including additional plantings required by the planning board</li> </ul>	The plan indicates the subdivision will be maintained by a Homeowner's Association	
§16.10.11	<ul> <li>Prior to the beginning of any site work, the applicant must:</li> <li>Define the limits of any proposed clearings.</li> <li>File all required performance guarantees and inspection escrows in forms acceptable to the Town Manager</li> </ul>	Not applicable at preliminary stage	
Code Pof	§16.8.9.C Preliminary Subdivision Plan Requirements		
Code Rei.	Standard	Determination	
§16.8.9.C.(5).(a-i).	<ul> <li>* Paper plan sheets no smaller than 11" x 17"</li> <li>* Scale of drawing no greater than 1 inch = 30 feet</li> <li>* Code block in right-hand corner</li> <li>* Standard boundary survey of existing conditions</li> <li>* Compass with arrow pointing true north</li> <li>* Locus map of property</li> <li>* Vicinity map and aerial photograph</li> <li>* Surveyed acreage of parcel(s), rights-of-way, wetlands, and amount of street frontage</li> <li>* Names and addresses of owners of record abutting property</li> </ul>	Provided	
§16.8.9.C.(5).(j).	Existing conditions survey including all identified structures, natural resources, rights-of- way, and utilities located on and within 100 feet of the property	Provided	

§16.8.9.C.(5).(k).	<ul> <li>Proposed development area including:</li> <li>* Location and detail of proposed structures and signs</li> <li>* Proposed utilities including power, water, and sewer</li> <li>* Sewage facilities type and placement</li> <li>* Domestic water source</li> <li>* Lot lines, rights-of-way, and street alignments</li> <li>* Road and other paved area plans</li> <li>* Existing and proposed setbacks</li> <li>* Storage areas for waste or hazardous materials</li> <li>* Topographic contours of existing contours and finished grade elevations</li> <li>* Locations and dimensions of artificial features such as pedestrian ways, sidewalks, curb cuts, driveways, fences, retaining walls,</li> </ul>	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(a). Documents showing legal interest in the property		Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(b).	Identified property encumbrances	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(c). Kittery Water District approval letter		Private water proposed: hydrogeologist letter has been provided.
§16.8.9.C.(6).(d).	Erosion and sedimentation control plan	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(e).	Stormwater management plan and drainage analysis	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(f).	Soil survey	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(g).	Vehicular traffic report	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(h).	Traffic impact analysis	Not deemed applicable due to low traffic volume
§16.8.9.C.(6).(i).	Test pit analysis for proposed septic systems	Provided
§16.8.9.C.(6).(j).	Town sewage department confirmation	Not applicable.
§16.8.10.C.(6).(k).	Evaluation of development by Police, Fire, and Public Works department heads	Provided
§16.8.10.C.(6).(1).	Additional submissions as required	None proposed at this time

#### 91 DISCUSSION, NEXT STEPS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

92

93 The purpose of a public hearing is to gather feedback from abutters, residents, and interested parties that

94 may identify potential conflicts or suggestions to the proposed development. The applicant has revised their

- 95 application, and a peer review is pending. If the engineer review concludes there are no significant issues
- 96 with the revised stormwater management report, staff consider the application ready for preliminary 97 approval on the condition that all identified minor issues be resolved as a part of the final plan application.
- 98 After holding the hearing, staff suggest the planning board discuss the feedback gathered by the public and
- 99 advise the applicant on next steps.

#### 100 **<u>Recommended Motions</u>**

- 101
- 102 Below are recommended motions for the Board's use and consideration:

#### 103 *Motion to conditionally approve the application*

104 Move to approve the site plan (with conditions listed above) by Michael Tadema-Wielandt, on behalf of

- 105 owner/applicant Geoff Bowley, proposing to divide a 19.11-acre parcel into a conservation subdivision of
- 106 9 single-family residential building lots, a private street system, and an open space plot around identified
- 107 wetlands, vernal pools, and a pre-existing cemetery on the property of 77 Bartlett Road, Map 62 Lot 26,
- 108 in the Residential-Rural (R-RL) and Resource Protection Overlay (OZ-RP) Zones.
- 109

#### 110 *Motion to continue the application*

- 111 Move to continue review of the site plan by Michael Tadema-Wielandt, on behalf of owner/applicant
- 112 Geoff Bowley, proposing to divide a 19.11-acre parcel into a conservation subdivision of 9 single-family
- residential building lots, a private street system, and an open space plot around identified wetlands, vernal
- 114 pools, and a pre-existing cemetery on the property of 77 Bartlett Road, Map 62 Lot 26, in the Residential-
- 115 Rural (R-RL) and Resource Protection Overlay (OZ-RP) Zones.

## **PROJECT PARCEL SITE**

TOWN OF KITTERY TAX ASSESSOR'S MAP, LOT NUMBERS & ZONING DISTRICTS <u>MAP</u> 62 ZONING DISTRICTS **RESIDENTIAL-RURAL** 



-{N)

GRID

NORTH



## LOCATION MAP SCALE: 1"=500'

# SHEET INDEX

C-1.0	COVER SHEET
1	EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN
C-2.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS & CLEARING PLAN
C-3.0	SUBDIVISION PLAN
C-3.1	PLAN & PROFILE, UTILITY, & EROSION CONTROL PLAN
C-4.0	EROSION CONTROL NOTES & DETAILS
C-4.1	SITE DETAILS
C-4.2	DRAINAGE & UTILITY DETAILS

# PREPARED BY:

CIVIL ENGINEER: **TERRADYN CONSULTANTS, LLC** 565 CONGRESS STREET, SUITE 201 PORTLAND, MAINE 04101 (207) 926-5111

## SURVEYOR:

**TERRADYN CONSULTANTS, LLC** 79 MAIN STREET, SUITE 300 AUBURN, MAINE 04210 (207) 946-4480

## SOIL SCIENTIST:

LONGVIEW PARTNERS, LLC 6 SECOND STREET BUXTON, MAINE 04093 (207) 807-1739

## GEOLOGIST:

MARK CENCI GEOLOGIC, INC. 93 MILL ROAD NORTH YARMOUTH, MAINE 04097 (207) 329-3524

# SUBDIVISION PLANS **BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION** BARTLETT ROAD - KITTERY, MAINE

# UTILITIES

SEWER PRIVATE ONSITE SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

WATER PRIVATE ONSITE WELLS

ELECTRIC CENTRAL MAINE POWER CO. 162 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, ME 04103 (207) 842-2367

TELEPHONE FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS P.O. BOX 11560 PORTLAND, MAINE 04104 1-888-984-1515

CABLE CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS 24 HERSEY STREET PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 (844) 986-7224

DIG SAFE SYSTEM, INC. TEL. 1-888-DIG-SAFE (344-7233) FAX 1-781-721-0047 WWW.DIGSAFE.COM

# PERMITS

TYPE OF PERMIT	G
SUBDIVISION APPROVAL	T( Pl 20 Ki Te
STORMWATER PERMIT-BY RULE	M En 31 PC TE
STORMWATER PERMIT-BY RULE	M E 3 <sup>·</sup> P T

# **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. THE PROJECT WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF ALL PERMITS ISSUED BY THE TOWN OF KITTERY, THE MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, AND THE LOCAL UTILITY COMPANIES.
- 2. ALL NECESSARY INSPECTIONS AND/OR CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY THE TOWN OF KITTERY OR THE LOCAL UTILITY COMPANIES SHALL BE COORDINATED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. THE LOCATION AND/OR ELEVATIONS OF THE EXISTING UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS BASED ON RECORDS OF THE VARIOUS UTILITY COMPANIES AND, WHERE POSSIBLE, MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN THE FIELD. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE RELIED UPON AS BEING EXACT OR COMPLETE. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CALL THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND DIG SAFE AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBLE OF THE CONTRACTOR TO RELOCATE ANY EXISTING UTILITIES THAT CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING AND MAINTAINING ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHOWN ON THE PLANS. THE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHOWN ON THE PLANS ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED TO PREVENT EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION. ADDITIONAL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED IF DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE OWNER, ENGINEER, OR REGULATING AGENCIES.
- ALL MATERIAL SCHEDULES SHOWN ON THE PLANS ARE FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE HIS OWN MATERIAL SCHEDULES BASED UPON HIS PLAN REVIEW. ALL SCHEDULES SHALL BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIALS OR PERFORMING WORK.
- 6. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE STRICTEST STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE MAINE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SPECIFICATIONS, THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE UTILITY COMPANY AND TOWN OF KITTERY REQUIREMENTS.
- 7. ALL DIMENSIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ARE TO THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT, FACE OF CURB, OR THE FACE OF THE BUILDING.
- 8. ALL SIGNAGE SHALL BE SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD).

## **UTILITY NOTES**

- 1. THE PROJECT WILL BE SERVED BY INDIVIDUAL WELLS AND SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEMS .
- 2. ALL STORM DRAIN PIPE SHALL BE SMOOTH BORE INTERIOR PROVIDING A MANNINGS ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT OF n=0.012 OR LESS.

## **GOVERNING BODY**

OWN OF KITTERY, MAINE LANNING BOARD 00 ROGERS ROAD KITTERY, ME 03904 EL. 207-439-0452

AINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 12 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, ME 04103 EL: 207-822-6300

STATUS

PENDING PRELIMINARY **APPROVAL** 

PENDING

LEGEND	
	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
	PROJECT SITE BOUNDARY
	EXISTING SETBACK LINE
· ·	PROPOSED EASEMENT
124	EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
124	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
124	PROPOSED CONTOUR
SD	EXISTING STORMDRAIN
	PROPOSED STORMDRAIN
UD	EXISTING UNDERDRAIN
UD	PROPSED UNDERDRAIN
OHE	EXISTING OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	& TELEPHONE
OHE	PROPOSED OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	& TELEPHONE
	EXISTING UNDERGROUND
	ELECTRIC & TELEPHONE
<b>———</b> UGE <b>———</b>	PROPOSED UNDERGROUND
	TEST PIT
-0-	
Ý	
~~ ★	PROPOSED LIGHT POLE
-0-	
	EXISTING CATCH BASIN
	PROPOSED CATCH BASIN
$\bigcirc$	EXISTING DRAIN MANHOLE
Ď	PROPOSED DRAIN MANHOLE
S	EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE
Ś	PROPOSED SEWER MANHOLE
+ 30.20	EXISTING SPOT GRADE
30.20	PROPOSED SPOT GRADE
+	SURVEY CONTROL POINT
•	EXISTING MONUMENT
0	EXISTING IRON PIPE
	EXISTING SIGN
	PROPOSED SIGN
	EXISTING BUILDING
	PROPOSED BUILDING
	PROPOSED CONCRETE
	PROPOSED PAVEMENT
	PROPOSED BUFFER

### Plan References:

- A. ""Right of Way Map" Maine State Highway Commission, State Aid Highway No. 10, S.H.C File No. S-16-340,
- Dated December 1970 and recorded in Plan Book 57, Page 7.
- B. "Plan Showing Land Surveyed for Barbara R Brown" by Rodger E Malmgren, drawn on January 17, 1980 and recorded in Plan Book 104, Page 8.
- C. "Division of Land, Bartlett Road" for Dewain L & Gloria Jean Wallace, by Anderson Associates, dated September 1985 and recorded in Plan Book 143, Page 3.
- D. "Plan of Lots, Bartlett Road" for Daniel O Lynch, by Anderson Associates, dated July 1985 and recorded in Plan Book 145, Page 8.
- E. "Plan of Revised Road Right Of Way for the Estate of Daniel O Lynch" by Anderson-Livingston, dated October 1991 and recorded in Plan Book 204, Page 10.
- F. "Subdivision of Land for Charles S Lynch" by Anderson Livingston Engineers, Inc., dated March 1992 and recorded in Plan Book 208, Page 49.
- G. "Standard Boundary Survey for Charles S Lynch" by Anderson Livingston Engineers, Inc., dated March 1999 and recorded in Plan Book 250, Page 39.

## Surveyor's Certification

To the best of my knowledge, I have used ordinary and prudent conduct expected of Professional Land Surveyors and the results shown here represent the licensee's responsibility to the public as required under the Standards of Practice as defined by the Board of Licensure for Professional Land Surveyors (M.R.S.A Title 32, Chapter 141, Dated April 2001).

Except as Follows:

- 1. Survey Report Limited to Notes on the Plan
- 2. No Deed Description to Date 3. No Monuments Set

10/10/23 Plan Prepared by:

fimmy C. Courbron PLS # 2532







N/F Ted Ray Reed Pinkhams Lane Map 62, Lot 18 Bk 3163, Pg 104 594.15  $\sim$ 

P 44

TP 2 • 💓 <sup>`</sup>' 1" IRON ROD FOUND 15" ABOVE GRADE TP 30 AXLE LEANING SAB

1-1-1-

STORAGE SHED STORY W/F TP 28 DWELLING X ŤP 29 BENCHMARK MAG IN UTILITY POLE ELEVATION: 55.7' TP 27 TP 26

ΤΡ´12 1 TP 13 ---TP`31\_\_

-----

TP 10 <<

2 acres (Parcel 3) to Arthur W Lewis in 764/488 (1926)

×.

Part of former William Parker Estate

Shown on Plan Reference E

**\***45

(16)

' 59**'**32'04"

-66 ~65-TP 24 -TP 23 (= 2TP 43 😥

"TR 21 Λ ð

TP 22

1" BELOW GRADE -- 439.03'-----MB 1-1-. **-**--4" x 4"

N/F

Gretchen May

11 Lynch Lane Map 68, Lot 4A-9

Bk 16501, Pg 20

GRANITE MONUMENT FOUND 3" ABOVE GRADE DISTURBED AND BROKEN

TP 46

Payne Cemetery 1858–1873

TP 41

1

1/2" REBAR FOUND

00

FP 38





	EXISTING PROP
	PROJECT BOUN
	PROPOSED PRO
	PROPOSED SET
· ·	PROPOSED EAS
	EXISTING EDGE
-0-	EXISTING UTILIT
•	EXISTING MONU
0	EXISTING IRON I
	PROPOSED GRA

L3 S 48°40'57" E 80.69' L4 S 44°44'02" E 83.14' 62.80' L5 S 46°17'15" E S 61°06'08" E L6 34.24' N 59°58'44" E 73.19' L7 N 55°55'00" E 73.40' L8 N 60°56'58" E 108.00' L9 L10 N 68°09'56" E 61.78' 97.16' L11 N 67°46'22" E L12 N 60°08'50" E 56.11' L13 68.63' S 48°19'26" E L14 S 44°51'57" E 60.60'

CENTERLINE TABLE					
LINE	DIRECTION	DISTANCE			
L15	N 30°27'56" W	89.44'			
L16	S 72°12'51" E	17.97'			

PROPERTY LINE TABLE					
LINE	DIRECTION	DISTANCE			
L17	S 30°27'56" E	25.00'			
L18	S 30°27'56" E	25.74'			
L19	N 30°27'56" W	50.74'			
L20	S 49°17'43" E	10.21'			
L21	N 60°43'39" W	39.87'			

LINE	DISTANCE	DIRECTION
L22	36.03'	S89° 47' 34"E
L23	100.60'	N0° 03' 10"E
L24	77.85'	S36° 08' 52"W
L25	38.87'	S14° 36' 35"E
L26	29.90'	N37° 40' 51"W
L27	20.00'	N77° 01' 39"W
L28	26.66'	N66° 36' 06"E
L29	63.43'	N68° 46' 06"W

CENTERLINE CURVE TABLE							
CURVE	LENGTH	RADIUS	CRD. BEARING	CRD. DIST			
C2	65.73'	200.00'	N 39°52'49" W	65.43'			
C3	80.00'	200.00'	N 60°45'17" W	79.47'			
C4	41.89'	40.00'	S 42°12'51" E	40.00'			
C5	209.44'	40.00'	S 17°47'09" W	40.00'			
C6	41.89'	40.00'	S 77°47'09" W	40.00'			



PROPERTY CURVE TABLE							
CURVE	LENGTH	RADIUS	CRD. BEARING	CRE			
C7	23.56'	15.00'	S 75°27'56" E	2			
C8	23.56'	15.00'	N 14°32'04" E	1			
C9	72.30'	220.00'	N 39°52'49" W	7			
C10	59.16'	180.00'	N 39°52'49" W	Ę			
C11	86.27'	220.00'	N 60°31'46" W	8			
C12	17.94'	180.00'	N 52°08'59" W	1			
C13	50.00'	60.00'	S 47°53'29" E	4			
C14	49.92'	60.00'	N 47°51'14" W	4			
C15	50.02'	60.00'	S 84°25'50" W	4			
C16	71.32'	60.00'	S 26°29'40" W	6			
C17	79.03'	60.00'	S 45°17'39" E	7			
C18	39.13'	60.00'	N 78°17'25" E	3			
C19	51.54'	60.00'	S 84°13'01" W	4			
C20	50.80'	180.00'	N 63°05'23" W	Ę			





WING LOT: PAGE MAP/LOT 372 62/26 AL (R-RL) ZONING DISTRICT & RESOURCE	<ul> <li>7. OPEN SPACE REQUIREME</li> <li>A. OPEN SPACE: 60% OF TC</li> <li>B. OPEN SPACE PROVIDED</li> <li>C. OPEN SPACE WITHIN NE<sup>-</sup></li> <li>D. MIN. OPEN SPACE WITHIN</li> </ul>	ENTS: DTAL LAND AREA T RES: 40% OF NET RES N NET RES PROVIDED.	504,386 S.F. 572,535 S.F. 233,752 S.F. 316,271 S.F.		UILINIIII TE OF MICHAEL DEMA-WIE		
AL RURAL (R-RL) DISTRICT: <u>PROPOSED (CONSERVATION)</u> 20,000 S.F. 50 FT	<ol> <li>THE OUTER BOUNDARY IN ENTITLED "BARTLETT ROA CONSULTANTS LLC.</li> <li>SITE ENGINEERING PROVI TERRADYN CONSULTANTS</li> </ol>	NFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS P AD SUBDIVISION", DATED MARCH IDED BY MICHAEL E. TADEMA-WI S, LLC, 565 CONGRESS STREET.	LAN IS BASED ON A PLAN I 24, 2023 BY TERRADYN ELANDT, P.E. #11567 OF SUITE 201, PORTLAND,	Mich	ALS SIONAL		
20 FT. 10 FT. 10 FT. 25% 35 FT.	MAINE. 10. BEARINGS ARE GRID NOR SYSTEM, MAINE WEST ZON 11. THE PROPOSED LOTS WIL WASTEWATER DISPOSAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS U	TH AND REFER TO THE MAINE S NE ZONE 1802, NAD 1983. LL BE SERVED BY INDIVIDUAL WE SYSTEMS, AND UNDERGROUND JTILITIES.	TATE PLANE COORDINATE ELLS, AND SUBSURFACE ELECTRICAL AND	DATE: 10/1 P.E.: MICHA	0/2023 \EL TADE	MA-WIEL/	
40,643 S.F.	<ol> <li>NO WETLAND IMPACTS SH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRON</li> <li>A PORTION OF THE SITE IS THE FLOOD INSURANCE R COUNTY, MAD NUMBER 23</li> </ol>	HALL BE CREATED WITHOUT THE NMENTAL PROTECTION. S WITHIN THE 100-YEAR FLOOD A RATE MAP FOR THE TOWN OF KIT	APPROVAL OF THE MAINE ZONE AS DEPICTED ON TERY, MAINE, YORK				
3.F. 7,785 S.F. (NOT INCL. WETLANDS) ),724 S.F. 9,934 S.F. (NOT INCL. OTHER AREAS) S.F. S.F.	<ol> <li>WETLAND BOUNDARIES DI PARTNERS, LLC IN JULY, A</li> <li>LONGVIEW PARTNERS, LL' PRESENCE OF VERNAL PO WEEL DENTIFIED AS NON</li> </ol>	DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN WERE D AUGUST, SEPTEMBER, & OCTOB C. PERFORMED SITE INVESTIGA DOL HABITAT IN THE SPRING OF	ELINEATED BY LONGVIEW ER 2021. TIONS FOR THE 2023. TWO VERNAL POOLS			J REVIEW	
I,737 S.F. S.F. S.F. S.F. 436 S.F. (NOT INCL. WETLAND OR FLOOD)	<ul> <li>16. LONGVIEW PARTNERS, LLC SITE, DATED DECEMBER, 2</li> <li>17. THERE SHALL BE NO CON' PERMIT UNTIL A PERFORM DECUMPED IMPROVEMENT</li> </ul>	C PREPARED A HIGH INTENSITY 2022. TEST PITS WERE OBSERVI IVEYANCE OF ANY LOT OR ISSU/ MANCE GUARANTEE(S) COVERIN	SOIL SURVEY ON THE ED ON 10/19/2023. INCE OF ANY BUILDING G THE COST OF ALL			TS	
3,683 S.F. (NOT INCL. WETLAND OR FLOOD) 965 S.F. S.F. S.F. 56,264 S.F.	REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT KITTERY. 18. THE DEVELOPMENT IS SUI DECISIONS, AND CONDITIC KITTERY PLANNING BOARI DEEDS.	IS IS PROVIDED AND APPROVED IBJECT TO THE FINDINGS OF FAC ON OF APPROVAL AS APPROVED ID AND RECORDED IN THE YORK	BY THE TOWN OF CT, CONCLUSIONS, D BY THE TOWN OF COUNTY REGISTRY OF			W COMMEN	
34,379 S.F. ),000 S.F. ↓	<ol> <li>THE NUMBERED LOTS SHO OFF OF THE PRIVATE WAY</li> <li>THE PROPOSED ROAD SH, HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIAT</li> <li>SIGHT DISTANCE MEASUR CONSULTANTS LLC ON SE</li> </ol>	OWN HEREON SHALL ONLY BE A Y. IALL REMAIN PRIVATE AND SHAL TON. REMENTS WERE TAKEN IN THE F FPTEMBER 21, 2023	CCESSED BY DRIVEWAYS L BE MAINTAINED BY THE IELD BY TERRADYN			ON PEER REVIE	
N/F Fuller Trust ynch Lane						VISED BASED ( RMITTED TO KI	
3, Lot 4A-3 912, Pg 291 879/18)	L7				+	0/2023 RE	TE
					+	2 10/10 1 8/3/2	NO.
OPEN SPACE 572,535 S.F. 13.14 Ac.				ESS STREET	ME 04102	21-1317	ermitting
FRESHWATER WETLAND, TYP.				565 CONGRE SUITE 201	60 PORTLAND,	1 FAX: (207) 2 s.com	invironmental F
		15'46" E 199.38		S DRIVE	CESTER, ME 042	(207) 926-511 adynconsultant	ater Design   E
NON-S VERNAL (2 TOT	IGNIFICANT _ POOL 'AL)	FFT. PRIVATE		41 CAMPUS SUITE 301		OFFICE: ( www.terra	ig   Stormwa
TP 19	TP 18	RIGHT-OF-				s, LLC	and Plannin
						NSULTANT	neering   La
-40' WIDE FORESTED BUFFER	OPEN SPACE 572,535 S.F. 13.14 Ac.	IGNIFICANT			Ţ	l O	Civil Engi
	VERNAL (2 TOTA (2 TOTA (2 TOTA (2 TOTA	AL)		PEF NOT FC			ION
S 67°42'09" W 363.59'				N		T FUNI	
				DIVISIO		DPMEN	
				D SUB	AN	EVELO	
TOWI BOAF	N OF KITTERY PLANN ND SUBDIVISION NOVAL	NING		T ROA	ION PI		AINE 04043
	CHAIRPE		MAINE	JECT: RTLET ERY, MAINE	ET TITLE: BDIVIS	NT: ACHW BOX 261	VEBUNK, M
		RECEIVED     AThm     PLAN BOOK	, 20 M. AND RECORDED IN PAGE	DATE:	SC SC SC	Щ Щ о о 5/18/2023 1" = 60'	
		ATTEST	REGISTER	DESIGNE JOB NO: SHEET		итw 22-145 -З П	
				<u> </u>		0.0	



TTP 8 TTP 8 TT	DATE: 10/10/20 P.E.: MICHAEL	ANNUMATION OF MA OF MA A-WIELANDT A-WIELANDT CENSED WAL HINNING VAL HINNIN VAL HINNIN VAL HINNIN VAL HINNIN VAL HINNIN VAL HINNING VAL HINNIN VAL HINN
5.F. LOT 4 26.643.5F. 0.61 Ac. TP 10 TP 10 ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL		10/10/2023     REVISED BASED ON PEER REVIEW COMMENTS       8/3/2023     SUBMITTED TO KITTERY FOR PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION REVIEW       DATE     REVISIONS
OPEN SPACE S72,536 S.F. 13.14 Ac.	41 CAMPUS DRIVE SUITE 301 NEW GLOUCESTER, ME 04260 PORTLAND, ME 04102	OFFICE: (207) 926-5111       FAX: (207) 221-1317         www.terradynconsultants.com       2         ning       Stormwater Design       Environmental Permitting
80 80 80 1. SITE DISTANCE FROM THE PROPOSED ENTRANCE ONTO BARTLETT ROAD WAS OBSERVED AS 816' (LEFT) AND 687' (RIGHT) BY TERRADYN CONSULTANTS ON SEPTEMBER 21, 2023.	PERMI	TERRADYN ConsultANTS, LLC Civil Engineering   Land Plant
	PROJECT: BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION KITTERY, MAINE SHEET TITLE:	PLAN & PROFILE, UTILITY, & EROSION CONTROL PLAN CLIENT: BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND P.O. BOX 261 KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043 MainsProtect Folders - Documents/2022 Liches/22-145 Bartleft Road Subdivision/CAD/Permittino/22-145

## **EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN**

A PERSON WHO CONDUCTS, OR CAUSES TO BE CONDUCTED, AN ACTIVITY THAT INVOLVES FILLING, DISPLACING OR EXPOSING SOIL OR OTHER EARTHEN MATERIALS SHALL TAKE MEASURES TO PREVENT UNREASONABLE EROSION OF SOIL OR SEDIMENT BEYOND THE PROJECT SITE OR INTO A PROTECTED NATURAL RESOURCE AS DEFINED IN 38 MRSA & 480-B. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE IN PLACE BEFORE THE ACTIVITY BEGINS MEASURES MUST REMAIN IN PLACE AND FUNCTIONAL UNTIL THE SITE IS PERMANENTLY. STABILIZED. ADEQUATE AND TIMELY TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEASURES MUST BE TAKEN. THE SITE MUST BE MAINTAINED TO PREVENT UNREASONABLE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION. MINIMIZE DISTURBED AREAS AND PROTECT NATURAL DOWNGRADIENT BUFFER AREAS TO THE EXTENT PRACTICABLE.

#### **BMP CONSTRUCTION PHAS**

A. SEDIMENT BARRIERS. PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF ANY CONSTRUCTION, PROPERLY INSTALL SEDIMENT BARRIERS AT THE EDGE OF ANY DOWNGRADIENT DISTURBED AREA AND ADJACENT TO ANY DRAINAGE CHANNELS WITHIN THE PROPOSED DISTURBED AREA. MAINTAIN THE SEDIMENT BARRIERS UNTIL THE DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED

B. CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE: PRIOR TO ANY CLEARING OR GRUBBING, A CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT THE INTERSECTION WITH THE PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE AND THE EXISTING ROADWAY TO AVOID TRACKING OF MUD. DUST AND DEBRIS FROM THE SITE. TRACKED MUD OR SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO A STORM EVENT BY VACUUM SWEEPING

C. RIPRAP: SINCE RIPRAP IS USED WHERE EROSION POTENTIAL IS HIGH, CONSTRUCTION MUST BE SEQUENCED SO THAT THE RIPRAP IS PUT IN PLACE WITH THE MINIMUM DELAY, DISTURBANCE OF AREAS WHERE RIPRAP IS TO BE PLACED SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN ONLY WHEN FINAL PREPARATION AND PLACEMENT OF THE RIPRAP CAN FOLLOW IMMEDIATELY BEHIND THE INITIAL DISTURBANCE. WHERE RIPRAP IS USED FOR OUTLET PROTECTION. THE RIPRAP SHOULD BE PLACED BEFORE OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PIPE OR CHANNEL SO THAT IT IS IN PLACE WHEN THE PIPE OR CHANNEL BEGINS TO OPERATE. MAINTAIN TEMPORARY RIPRAP, SUCH AS TEMPORARY CHECK DAMS UNTIL THE DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED.

D TEMPORARY STABILIZATION STABILIZE WITH TEMPORARY SEEDING, MULCH, OR OTHER NON-ERODABLE COVER ANY EXPOSED SOILS. THAT WILL REMAIN UNWORKED FOR MORE THAN 14 DAYS EXCEPT. STABILIZE AREAS WITHIN 100 FEET OF A WETLAND OR WATERBODY WITHIN 7 DAYS OR PRIOR TO A PREDICTED STORM EVENT, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST. IF HAY OR STRAW MULCH IS USED, THE APPLICATION RATE MUST BE 2 BALES (70-90 POUNDS) PER 1000 SF OR 1.5 TO 2 TONS (90-100 BALES) PER ACRE TO COVER 75 TO 90% OF THE GROUND SURFACE. HAY MULCH MUST BE KEPT MOIST OR ANCHORED TO PREVENT WIND BLOWING. AN EROSION CONTROL BLANKET OR MAT SHALL BE USED AT THE BASE OF GRASSED WATERWAYS. STEEP SLOPES (15% OR GREATER) AND ON ANY DISTURBED SOIL WITHIN 100 FEET OF LAKES, STREAMS AND WETLANDS. GRADING SHALL BE PLANNED SO AS TO MINIMIZE THE LENGTH OF TIME BETWEEN INITIAL SOIL EXPOSURE AND FINAL GRADING. ON LARGE PROJECTS THIS SHOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED BY PHASING THE OPERATION AND COMPLETING THE FIRST PHASE UP TO FINAL GRADING AND SEEDING BEFORE STARTING THE SECOND PHASE, AND SO

E. EROSION CONTROL MIX SHALL CONTAIN A WELL-GRADED MIXTURE OF PARTICLE SIZES AND MAY CONTAIN ROCKS LESS THAN 4" IN DIAMETER. EROSION CONTROL MIX SHOULD BE FREE OF REFUSE, PHYSICAL CONTAMINANTS, AND MATERIAL TOXIC TO PLANT GROWTH SUCH AS FLY ASH OR YARD SCRAPING. LARGE PORTIONS OF SILTS, CLAYS OR FINE SANDS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE IN THE MIX. THE MIX COMPOSITION SHOULD MEET THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

- THE ORGANIC MATTER CONTENT SHOULD BE BETWEEN 80% AND 100%, DRY WEIGHT BASIS. PARTICLE SIZE BY WEIGHT SHOULD BE 100% PASSING A 6" SCREEN AND 70% TO 85% PASSING A 0.75" SCREEN • THE ORGANIC PORTION NEEDS TO BE FIBROUS AND ELONGATED
- SOLUBLE SALTS CONTENT SHALL BE <4.0 MMHOS/CM</li> • THE pH SHALL BE BETWEEN 5.0 AND 8.0

. VEGETATED WATERWAY. UPON FINAL GRADING, THE DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY SEEDED TO PERMANENT VEGETATION AND MULCHED AND WILL NOT BE USED AS OUTLETS UNTIL A DENSE, VIGOROUS VEGETATIVE COVER HAS BEEN OBTAINED. ONCE SOIL IS EXPOSED FOR WATERWAY CONSTRUCTION. IT SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY SHAPED. GRADED AND STABILIZED. VEGETATED WATERWAYS NEED TO BE STABILIZED EARLY DURING THE GROWING SEASON (PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 15). IF FINAL SEEDING OF WATERWAYS IS DELAYED PAST SEPTEMBER 15. EMERGENCY PROVISIONS SUCH AS SOD OR RIPRAP MAY BE REQUIRED TO STABILIZE THE CHANNEL WATERWAYS SHOULD BE FULLY STABILIZED PRIOR TO DIRECTING RUNOFF TO THEM.

PERMANENT STABILIZATION DEFIN A. SEEDED AREAS. FOR SEEDED AREAS, PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEANS AN 90% COVER OF THE DISTURBED AREA WITH MATURE, HEALTHY PLANTS WITH NO EVIDENCE OF WASHING OR RILLING OF THE TOPSOIL

B. SODDED AREAS. FOR SODDED AREAS, PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEANS THE COMPLETE BINDING OF THE SOD ROOTS INTO THE UNDERLYING SOIL WITH NO SLUMPING OF THE SOD OR DIE-OFF. C PERMANENT MULCH FOR MULCHED AREAS. PERMANENT MULCHING MEANS TOTAL COVERAGE OF THE EXPOSED AREA WITH AN

APPROVED MULCH MATERIAL. EROSION CONTROL MIX MAY BE USED AS MULCH FOR PERMANENT STABILIZATION ACCORDING TO THE APPROVED APPLICATION RATES AND LIMITATIONS. D. RIPRAP. FOR AREAS STABILIZED WITH RIPRAP, PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEANS THAT SLOPES STABILIZED WITH RIPRAP HAVE AN

APPROPRIATE BACKING OF A WELL-GRADED GRAVEL OR APPROVED GEOTEXTILE TO PREVENT SOIL MOVEMENT FROM BEHIND THE RIPRAP. STONE MUST BE SIZED APPROPRIATELY. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT ANGULAR STONE BE USED. E. AGRICULTURAL USE. FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS ON LAND USED FOR AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES (E.G., PIPELINES ACROSS CROP

LAND), PERMANENT STABILIZATION MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED BY RETURNING THE DISTURBED LAND TO AGRICULTURAL USE. F. PAVED AREAS. FOR PAVED AREAS, PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEANS THE PLACEMENT OF THE COMPACTED GRAVEL SUBBASE IS

COMPLETED. G. DITCHES, CHANNELS, AND SWALES, FOR OPEN CHANNELS, PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEANS THE CHANNEL IS STABILIZED WITH MATURE VEGETATION AT LEAST THREE INCHES IN HEIGHT, WITH WELL-GRADED RIPRAP, OR WITH ANOTHER NON-EROSIVE LINING CAPABLE OF WITHSTANDING THE ANTICIPATED FLOW VELOCITIES AND FLOW DEPTHS WITHOUT RELIANCE ON CHECK DAMS TO SLOW FLOW. THERE MUST BE NO EVIDENCE OF SLUMPING OF THE LINING, UNDERCUTTING OF THE BANKS, OR DOWN-CUTTING OF THE

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PHASE THE FOLLOWING EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE FOLLOWED BY THE CONTRACTOR THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.

. ALL TOPSOIL SHALL BE COLLECTED, STOCKPILED, SEEDED WITH RYE AT 3 POUNDS/1,000 SF AND MULCHED, AND REUSED AS REQUIRED. SILT FENCING SHALL BE PLACED DOWN GRADIENT FROM THE STOCKPILED LOAM. STOCKPILE TO BE LOCATED BY DESIGNATION OF THE OWNER AND INSPECTING ENGINEER.

B. THE INSPECTING ENGINEER AT HIS/HER DISCRETION, MAY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AND/OR SUPPLEMENTAL VEGETATIVE PROVISIONS TO MAINTAIN STABILITY OF EARTHWORKS AND FINISH GRADED AREAS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING AND INSTALLING ANY SUPPLEMENTAL MEASURES AS DIRECTED BY THE INSPECTING ENGINEER. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE ENGINEER'S DIRECTIONS WILL RESULT IN DISCONTINUATION OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. C. EROSION CONTROL MESH SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS OVER ALL FINISH SEEDED AREAS AS SPECIFIED ON THE DESIGN PLANS.

D. ALL GRADED OR DISTURBED AREAS INCLUDING SLOPES SHALL BE PROTECTED DURING CLEARING AND CONSTRUCTION IN

ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN UNTIL THEY ARE ADEQUATELY STABILIZED. E. ALL EROSION, AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PRACTICES AND MEASURES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED, APPLIED AND MAINTAINED IN

ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN. F. AREAS TO BE FILLED SHALL BE CLEARED, GRUBBED AND STRIPPED OF TOPSOIL TO REMOVE TREES, VEGETATION, ROOTS OR OTHER OBJECTIONABLE MATERIALS.

G. AREAS SHALL BE SCARIFIED TO A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 3 INCHES PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF TOPSOIL

H. ALL FILLS SHALL BE COMPACTED AS REQUIRED TO REDUCE EROSION, SLIPPAGE, SETTLEMENT, SUBSIDENCE OR OTHER RELATED PROBLEMS. FILL INTENDED TO SUPPORT BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES AND CONDUITS, ETC., SHALL BE COMPACTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL REQUIREMENTS OR CODES.

I. ALL FILLS SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED IN LAYERS NOT TO EXCEED 8 INCHES IN THICKNESS.

J. EXCEPT FOR APPROVED LANDFILLS OR NON-STRUCTURAL FILLS, FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE FREE OF BRUSH, RUBBISH, ROCKS, LOGS. STUMPS, BUILDING DEBRIS AND OTHER OBJECTIONABLE MATERIALS THAT WOULD INTERFERE WITH OR PREVENT CONSTRUCTION OF SATISFACTORY LIFTS.

K. FROZEN MATERIAL OR SOFT, MUCKY OR HIGHLY COMPRESSIBLE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE INCORPORATED INTO FILL SLOPES OR STRUCTURAL FILLS.

L. FILL SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON A FROZEN FOUNDATION.

M. SEEPS OR SPRINGS ENCOUNTERED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE HANDLED APPROPRIATELY.

N. ALL GRADED AREAS SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING FINISHED GRADING. O. REMOVE ANY TEMPORARY CONTROL MEASURES, SUCH AS SILT FENCE, WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER PERMANENT STABILIZATION IS ATTAINED. REMOVE ANY ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS AND STABILIZE.

CHANNEL

PERMANENT VEGETATIVE COVER SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED ON DISTURBED AREAS WHERE PERMANENT, LONG LIVED VEGETATIVE COVER IS NEEDED TO STABILIZE THE SOIL, TO REDUCE DAMAGES FROM SEDIMENT AND RUNOFF, AND TO ENHANCE THE ENVIRONMENT

A. GRADE AS FEASIBLE TO PERMIT THE USE OF CONVENTIONAL EQUIPMENT FOR SEEDBED PREPARATION, SEEDING, MULCH APPLICATION AND ANCHORING, AND MAINTENANCE.

B. APPLY LIMESTONE AND FERTILIZER ACCORDING TO SOIL TESTS SUCH AS THOSE OFFERED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF MAINE SOIL TESTING LABORATORY. SOIL SAMPLE MAILERS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE LOCAL COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE OFFICE. IF SOIL TESTING IS NOT FEASIBLE ON SMALL OR VARIABLE SITES, OR WHERE TIMING IS CRITICAL, FERTILIZER MAY BE APPLIED AT THE RATE OF 800 POUNDS PER ACRE OR 18.4 POUNDS PER 1,000 SQUARE FEET USING 10-20-20 (N-P2O5-K2O) OR EQUIVALENT. APPLY GROUND LIMESTONE (EQUIVALENT TO 50% CALCIUM PLUS MAGNESIUM OXIDE) AT A RATE OF 3 TONS PER ACRE (138 LB. PER 1,000 SQ. FT).

C. WORK LIME AND FERTILIZER INTO THE SOIL AS NEARLY AS PRACTICAL TO A DEPTH OF 4 INCHES WITH A DISC, SPRING TOOTH HARROW OR OTHER SUITABLE EQUIPMENT. THE FINAL HARROWING OPERATION SHOULD BE ON THE GENERAL CONTOUR. CONTINUE TILLAGE UNTIL A REASONABLY UNIFORM, FINE SEEDBED IS PREPARED. ALL BUT CLAY OR SILTY SOILS AND COARSE SANDS SHOULD BE ROLLED TO FIRM THE SEEDBED WHEREVER FEASIBLE.D. REMOVE FROM THE SURFACE ALL STONES 2 INCHES OR LARGER IN ANY DIMENSION. REMOVE ALL OTHER DEBRIS, SUCH AS WIRE, CABLE, TREE ROOTS, CONCRETE, CLODS, LUMPS OR OTHER UNSUITABLE MATERIAL. E. INSPECT SEEDBED JUST BEFORE SEEDING. IF TRAFFIC HAS LEFT THE SOIL COMPACTED; THE AREA MUST BE TILLED AND FIRMED AS ABOVE.

F. PERMANENT SEEDING SHOULD BE MADE 45 DAYS PRIOR TO THE FIRST KILLING FROST OR AS A DORMANT SEEDING WITH MULCH AFTER THE FIRST KILLING FROST AND BEFORE SNOWFALL, WHEN CROWN VETCH IS SEEDED IN LATER SUMMER, AT LEAST 35% OF THE SEED SHOULD BE HARD SEED (UNSCARIFIED). IF SEEDING CANNOT BE DONE WITHIN THE SEEDING DATES, MULCH ACCORDING TO THE TEMPORARY MULCHING BMP AND OVERWINTER STABILIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION TO PROTECT THE SITE AND DELAY SEEDING UNTIL THE NEXT RECOMMENDED SEEDING PERIOD.

RYEGRASS. 20% ANNUAL RYEGRASS AND 5% WHITE DUTCH CLOVER.

I. AREAS WHICH HAVE BEEN TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY SEEDED SHALL BE MULCHED IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING SEEDING. J. AREAS WHICH CANNOT BE SEEDED WITHIN THE GROWING SEASON SHALL BE MULCHED FOR OVER-WINTER PROTECTION AND THE AREA SHOULD BE SEEDED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE GROWING SEASON.

F AN AREA IS NOT STABILIZED WITH TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT MEASURES BY NOVEMBER 15, THEN THE SITE MUST BE PROTECTED WITH ADDITIONAL STABILIZATION MEASURES.

A. PERMANENT STABILIZATION CONSISTS OF AT LEAST 90% VEGETATION, PAVEMENT/GRAVEL BASE OR RIPRAP. B. DO NOT EXPOSE SLOPES OR LEAVE SLOPES EXPOSED OVER THE WINTER OR FOR ANY OTHER EXTENDED TIME OF WORK SUSPENSION UNI ESS FULLY PROTECTED WITH MULCH.

THE GROUND SURFACE WILL NOT BE VISIBLE AND MUST BE ANCHORED. D. USE MULCH AND MULCH NETTING OR AN EROSION CONTROL MULCH BLANKET OR ALL SLOPES GREATER THAN 8 % OR OTHER AREAS EXPOSED TO DIRECT WIND

E. INSTALL AN EROSION CONTROL BLANKET IN ALL DRAINAGEWAYS (BOTTOM AND SIDES) WITH A SLOPE GREATER THAN 3 %. F. SEE THE VEGETATION MEASURES FOR MORE INFORMATION ON SEEDING DATES AND TYPES.

G. WINTER EXCAVATION AND EARTHWORK SHALL BE COMPLETED SO THAT NO MORE THAN 1 ACRE OF THE SITE IS WITHOUT STABILIZATION AT ANY ONE TIME.

H. AN AREA WITHIN 100 FEET OF A PROTECTED NATURAL RESOURCE MUST BE PROTECTED WITH A DOUBLE ROW OF SEDIMENT BARRIFR

I. TEMPORARY MULCH MUST BE APPLIED WITHIN 7 DAYS OF SOIL EXPOSURE OR PRIOR TO ANY STORM EVENT, BUT AFTER EVERY WORKDAY IN AREAS WITHIN 100 FEFT FROM A PROTECTED NATURAL RESOURCE J. AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT TO FINAL GRADE MUST BE PERMANENTLY MULCHED THAT SAME DAY.

BE SEEDED AND MULCHED.

L. LOAM SHALL BE FREE OF FROZEN CLUMPS BEFORE IT IS APPLIED. M. ALL VEGETATED DITCH LINES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN STABILIZED BY NOVEMBER 1. OR WILL BE WORKED DURING THE WINTER CONSTRUCTION PERIOD, MUST BE STABILIZED WITH AN APPROPRIATE STONE LINING BACKED BY AN APPROPRIATE GRAVEL BED OR GEOTEXTILE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY RELEASED FROM THIS STANDARD BY THE DEPARTMENT.

N. EROSION CONTROL MUST BE INSPECTED AFTER EACH RAINFALL, SNOW STORM, OR THAWING EVENT AND AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK BETWEEN NOVEMBER 15 AND APRIL 15

MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION PHAS A MINIMUM EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WILL NEED TO BE IMPLEMENTED AND THE APPLICANT WILL BE RESPONSIBLE TO MAINTAIN ALL COMPONENTS OF THE EROSION CONTROL PLAN UNTIL THE SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED. HOWEVER, BASED ON SITE AND WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION, ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MAY NEED TO BE IMPLEMENTED. ALL AREAS OF INSTABILITY AND EROSION MUST BE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY DURING CONSTRUCTION AND NEED TO BE MAINTAINED UNTIL THE SITE IS FULLY STABILIZED OR VEGETATION IS ESTABLISHED. A CONSTRUCTION LOG MUST BE MAINTAINED FOR THE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL INSPECTIONS AND MAINTENANCE

B. A LOG (REPORT) MUST BE KEPT SUMMARIZING THE SCOPE OF THE INSPECTION, NAME(S) AND QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PERSONNEL MAKING THE INSPECTION. THE DATE(S) OF THE INSPECTION, AND MAJOR OBSERVATIONS RELATING TO OPERATION OF EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS AND POLLUTION PREVENTION MEASURES. MAJOR OBSERVATIONS MUST INCLUDE: BMPS THAT NEED TO BE MAINTAINED: LOCATION(S) OF BMPS THAT FAILED TO OPERATE AS DESIGNED OR PROVED INADEQUATE FOR A PARTICULAR LOCATION; AND LOCATION(S) WHERE ADDITIONAL BMPS ARE NEEDED THAT DID NOT EXIST AT THE TIME OF INSPECTION. FOLLOW-UP TO CORRECT DEFICIENCIES OR ENHANCE CONTROLS MUST ALSO BE INDICATED IN THE LOG AND DATED, INCLUDING WHAT ACTION WAS TAKEN AND WHEN.

A DEWATERING PLAN IS NEEDED TO ADDRESS EXCAVATION DE-WATERING FOLLOWING HEAVY RAINFALL EVENTS OR WHERE THE EXCAVATION MAY INTERCEPT THE GROUNDWATER TABLE DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE COLLECTED WATER NEEDS TREATMENT AND A DISCHARGE POINT THAT WILL NOT CAUSE DOWNGRADIENT EROSION AND OFFSITE SEDIMENTATION OR WITHIN A RESOURCE.

#### GOOD HOUSEKEEPING NOTES:

1 SPILL PREVENTION CONTROLS MUST BE USED TO PREVENT POLILITANTS FROM CONSTRUCTION AND WASTE MATERIALS STORED ON SITE TO ENTER STORMWATER, WHICH INCLUDES STORAGE PRACTICES TO MINIMIZE EXPOSURE OF THE MATERIALS TO STORMWATER. THE SITE CONTRACTOR OR OPERATOR MUST DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT AS NECESSARY APPROPRIATE SPILL PREVENTION CONTAINMENT AND RESPONSE PLANNING MEASURES

NOTE: ANY SPILL OR RELEASE OF TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES MUST BE REPORTED TO THE DEPARTMENT. FOR OIL SPILLS, CALL 1-800-482-0777 WHICH IS AVAILABLE 24 HOURS A DAY, FOR SPILLS OF TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, CALL 1-800-452-4664 WHICH IS AVAILABLE 24 HOURS A DAY. FOR MORE INFORMATION. VISIT THE DEPARTMENT'S WEBSITE AT HTTP://WWW.MAINE.GOV/DEP/SPILLS/EMERGSPILLRESP/

2. GROUNDWATER PROTECTION. DURING CONSTRUCTION, LIQUID PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AND OTHER HAZARDOUS MATERIALS WITH THE POTENTIAL TO CONTAMINATE GROUNDWATER MAY NOT BE STORED OR HANDLED IN AREAS OF THE SITE DRAINING TO AN INFILTRATION AREA. AN "INFILTRATION AREA" IS ANY AREA OF THE SITE THAT BY DESIGN OR AS A RESULT OF SOILS, TOPOGRAPHY AND OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS ACCUMULATES RUNOFF THAT INFILTRATES INTO THE SOIL. DIKES, BERMS, SUMPS, AND OTHER FORMS OF SECONDARY CONTAINMENT THAT PREVENT DISCHARGE TO GROUNDWATER MAY BE USED TO ISOLATE PORTIONS OF THE SITE FOR THE PURPOSES OF STORAGE AND HANDLING OF THESE MATERIALS. ANY PROJECT PROPOSING INFILTRATION OF STORMWATER MUST PROVIDE ADEQUATE PRE-TREATMENT OF STORMWATER PRIOR TO DISCHARGE OF STORMWATER TO THE INFILTRATION AREA, OR PROVIDE FOR TREATMENT WITHIN THE INFILTRATION AREA, IN ORDER TO PREVENT THE ACCUMULATION OF FINES, REDUCTION IN INFILTRATION RATE, AND CONSEQUENT FLOODING AND DESTABILIZATION.

SEE MAINE DEP CHAPTER 500 APPENDIX D FOR LICENSE BY RULE STANDARDS FOR INFILTRATION OF STORMWATER. NOTE: LACK OF APPROPRIATE POLLUTANT REMOVAL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPS) MAY RESULT IN VIOLATIONS OF THE GROUNDWATER QUALITY STANDARD ESTABLISHED BY 38 M.R.S.A. §465-C(1).

FRACKING OF MUD AND SEDIMENT. IF OFF-SITE TRACKING OCCURS, PUBLIC ROADS SHOULD BE SWEPT IMMEDIATELY AND NO LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK AND PRIOR TO SIGNIFICANT STORM EVENTS. OPERATIONS DURING DRY MONTHS, THAT EXPERIENCE FUGITIVE DUST SUPPRESS FUGITIVE SEDIMENT AND DUST.

NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION ACT.

4. DEBRIS AND OTHER MATERIALS. MINIMIZE THE EXPOSURE OF CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, BUILDING AND LANDSCAPING MATERIALS, TRASH, FERTILIZERS, PESTICIDES, HERBICIDES, DETERGENTS, SANITARY WASTE AND OTHER MATERIALS TO PRECIPITATION AND STORMWATER RUNOFF. THESE MATERIALS MUST BE PREVENTED FROM BECOMING A POLLUTANT SOURCE.

NOTE: TO PREVENT THESE MATERIALS FROM BECOMING A SOURCE OF POLLUTANTS, CONSTRUCTION AND POST-CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES RELATED TO A PROJECT MAY BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE PROVISION OF RULES RELATED TO SOLID, UNIVERSAL, AND HAZARDOUS WASTE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE MAINE SOLID WASTE AND HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT RULES; MAINE HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT RULES; MAINE OIL CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE RULES; AND MAINE PESTICIDE REQUIREMENTS.

5. EXCAVATION DE-WATERING. EXCAVATION DE-WATERING IS THE REMOVAL OF WATER FROM TRENCHES, FOUNDATIONS, COFFER DAMS, PONDS, AND OTHER AREAS WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION AREA THAT RETAIN WATER AFTER EXCAVATION. IN MOST CASES THE COLLECTED WATER IS HEAVILY SILTED AND HINDERS CORRECT AND SAFE CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES. THE COLLECTED WATER REMOVED FROM THE PONDED AREA. EITHER THROUGH GRAVITY OR PUMPING, MUST BE SPREAD THROUGH NATURAL WOODED BUFFERS OR REMOVED TO AREAS THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO COLLECT THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SEDIMENT POSSIBLE, LIKE A COFFERDAM SEDIMENTATION BASIN. AVOID ALLOWING THE WATER TO FLOW OVER DISTURBED AREAS OF THE SITE. EQUIVALENT MEASURES MAY BE TAKEN IF APPROVED BY THE DEPARTMENT.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.

6. AUTHORIZED NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES, IDENTIFY AND PREVENT CONTAMINATION BY NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES, WHERE DISCHARGE. AUTHORIZED NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES ARE:

(a) DISCHARGES FROM FIREFIGHTING ACTIVITY; (b) FIRE HYDRANT FLUSHINGS

(I) LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION.

UNDERCARRIAGE AND TRANSMISSION WASHING IS PROHIBITED): (d) DUST CONTROL RUNOFF IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT CONDITIONS AND APPENDIX (C)(3) (e) ROUTINE EXTERNAL BUILDING WASHDOWN, NOT INCLUDING SURFACE PAINT REMOVAL. THAT DOES NOT INVOLVE DETERGENTS:

- MATERIAL HAD BEEN REMOVED) IF DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED:
- (g) UNCONTAMINATED AIR CONDITIONING OR COMPRESSOR CONDENSATE (h) UNCONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER OR SPRING WATER; FOUNDATION OR FOOTER DRAIN-WATER WHERE FLOWS ARE NOT CONTAMINATED;
- UNCONTAMINATED EXCAVATION DEWATERING (SEE REQUIREMENTS IN APPENDIX C(5)); ) POTABLE WATER SOURCES INCLUDING WATERLINE FLUSHINGS; AND
- (6). SPECIFICALLY, THE DEPARTMENT'S APPROVAL DOES NOT AUTHORIZE DISCHARGES OF THE FOLLOWING:
- OTHER CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS; (b) FUELS, OILS OR OTHER POLLUTANTS USED IN VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE; (c) SOAPS, SOLVENTS, OR DETERGENTS USED IN VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT WASHING; AND d) TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES FROM A SPILL OR OTHER RELEASE.
- 8. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS MAY BE APPLIED ON A SITE-SPECIFIC BASIS.

#### G. FOLLOWING SEED BED PREPARTATION, SWALE AREAS, FILL AREAS AND BACK SLOPES SHALL BE SEEDED AT A RATE OF 3 LBS./1,000 S.F. WITH A MIXTURE OF 35% CREEPING RED FESCUE, 6% RED TOP, 24% KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS, 10% PERENNIAL

C. APPLY HAY MULCH AT TWICE THE STANDARD RATE (150 LBS. PER 1,000 SF). THE MULCH MUST BE THICK ENOUGH SUCH THAT

K. IF SNOWFALL IS GREATER THAN 1 INCH (FRESH OR CUMULATIVE), THE SNOW SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE AREAS DUE TO

3. FUGITIVE SEDIMENT AND DUST. ACTIONS MUST BE TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT ACTIVITIES DO NOT RESULT IN NOTICEABLE EROSION OF SOILS OR FUGITIVE DUST EMISSIONS DURING OR AFTER CONSTRUCTION. OIL MAY NOT BE USED FOR DUST CONTROL, BUT OTHER WATER ADDITIVES MAY BE CONSIDERED AS NEEDED A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE (SCE) SHOULD BE INCLUDED TO MINIMIZE

PROBLEMS, SHOULD WET DOWN UNPAVED ACCESS ROADS ONCE A WEEK OR MORE FREQUENTLY AS NEEDED WITH A WATER ADDITIVE TO NOTE: DEWATERING A STREAM WITHOUT A PERMIT FROM THE DEPARTMENT MAY VIOLATE STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND THE

NOTE: DEWATERING CONTROLS ARE DISCUSSED IN THE "MAINE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS, MAINE DEPARTMENT OF

ALLOWED NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES EXIST, THEY MUST BE IDENTIFIED AND STEPS SHOULD BE TAKEN TO ENSURE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROPRIATE POLLUTION PREVENTION MEASURES FOR THE NON-STORMWATER COMPONENT(S) OF THE

(c) VEHICLE WASHWATER IF DETERGENTS ARE NOT USED AND WASHING IS LIMITED TO THE EXTERIOR OF VEHICLES (ENGINE,

(f) PAVEMENT WASHWATER (WHERE SPILLS/LEAKS OF TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS HAVE NOT OCCURRED. UNLESS ALL SPILLED

7. UNAUTHORIZED NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES. THE DEPARTMENT'S APPROVAL UNDER THIS CHAPTER DOES NOT AUTHORIZE A DISCHARGE THAT IS MIXED WITH A SOURCE OF NON-STORMWATER, OTHER THAN THOSE DISCHARGES IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX C

(a) WASTEWATER FROM THE WASHOUT OR CLEANOUT OF CONCRETE, STUCCO, PAINT, FORM RELEASE OILS, CURING COMPOUNDS OR







STAPLE OR STAKE LENGTHS GREATER THAN 6"(15CM) MAY BE NECESSARY TO PROPERLY SECURE THE RECP'S.

PHOTODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL BLANKET SELECTION 6:1 > 3:1 SLOPES | 3:1 > 2:1 SLOPES | ≥ 2:1 SLOPES

DS75 S150 SC150	

IF THE PLAN CALLS FOR PERMANEN TURF REINFORCEMENT, USE NORTH AMERICAN GREEN VMAX SC250

PERMANENT TURF REINFORCEMENT

#### NOTES:

1. PREPARE SOIL BEFORE INSTALLING ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS (RECPS), INCLUDING ANY NECESSARY APPLICATION OF LIME, FERTILIZER, AND SEED.

- 2. BEGIN AT THE TOP OF THE SLOPE BY ANCHORING THE RECPS IN A 6" DEEP X 6" WIDE TRENCH WITH APPROXIMATELY 12" OF RECPS EXTENDED BEYOND THE UP-SLOPE PORTION OF THE TRENCH. ANCHOR THE RECPS WITH A ROW OF STAPLES/STAKES APPROXIMATELY 12" APART IN THE BOTTOM OF THE TRENCH. BACKFILL AND COMPACT THE TRENCH AFTER STAPLING. APPLY SEED TO THE COMPACTED SOIL AND FOLD THE REMAINING 12" PORTION OF RECPS BACK OVER THE SEED AND COMPACTED SOIL. SECURE RECPS OVER COMPACTED SOIL WITH A ROW OF STAPLES/STAKES SPACED APPROXIMATELY 12" APART ACROSS THE WIDTH OF THE RECPS.
- 3. ROLL THE RECPS (A) DOWN OR (B) HORIZONTALLY ACROSS THE SLOPE. RECPS WILL UNROLL WITH APPROPRIATE SIDE AGAINST THE SOIL SURFACE. ALL RECPS MUST BE SECURELY FASTENED TO SOIL SURFACE BY PLACING STAPLES/STAKES IN APPROPRIATE LOCATIONS AS SHOWN IN THE STAPLE PATTERN GUIDE.
- 4. THE EDGES OF PARALLEL RECPS MUST BE STAPLED WITH APPROXIMATELY 2" 5" OVERLAP DEPENDING ON THE RECPS TYPE.
- 5. CONSECUTIVE RECPS SPLICED DOWN THE SLOPE MUST BE END OVER END (SHINGLE STYLE) WITH AN APPROXIMATE 3" OVERLAP. STAPLE THROUGH OVERLAPPED AREA, APPROXIMATELY 12" APART ACROSS ENTIRE RECPS WIDTH.

**EROSION CONTROL FABRIC SLOPE INSTALLATION** 



**EROSION CONTROL MIX BERM** NOT TO SCALE





M	The Property I							
P.E.:	MIC	HAEL	2023 . TAE	EMA	-wii	ELA	NDT □	
					MT	MT	API B'	
					REVISED BASED ON PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	SUBMITTED TO KITTERY FOR PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION REVIEW	REVISIONS	
					10/10/2023	8/3/2023	DATE	
					2	-	NO.	
-	41 CAMPUS DRIVE 565 CONGRE: SUITE 301 SUITE 201	NEW GLOUCESTER, ME 04260 PORTLAND, M		<b>CONSTITUTE 110</b> OFFICE: (207) 926-5111 FAX: (207) 22	CONSULTANTS, LLC WWW.Terragynconsultants.com		Civil Engineering   Land Planning   Stormwater Design   Environmental P	
N	PI OT F	ERM	IIT D CON	RAV	VIN	G CTIC	DN	14E D 4110
			DETAILS		ACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND	OX 261	EBUNK, MAINE 04043	dora Doorimonta/2003 Joho/22 145 Doutlott Dood Subdivicion/CAD/Dormittina/22
TACECT:		ED:	SITE	5/18 AS MT\		0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		n Consultants/Droiset Fol





207.926.5111 info@terradynconsultants.com www.terradynconsultants.com

10/10/2023

Project #22-145

Maxim Zakian Town of Kittery 200 Rogers Road Kittery, Maine 03904

#### SUBJECT: BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION RESPONSE TO PEER REVIEW COMMENTS

Dear Max:

Our office received review comments for the above referenced project from Jodie Bray Strickland, P.E., peer review engineer, dated September 2023. We have reviewed the comments and have prepared the following responses. For clarity, each comment is repeated in italics, followed by our response.

#### 16.4 Zoning Regulations

Comment 1: 16.4.13 Residential-Urban(R-U) The proposed use (dwelling, single family) is a permitted use, and a conservation residential development is specifically included in the permitted uses.

The project conforms to all zoning standards.

Response: No Response Necessary

#### 16.5 General Development Requirements

*Comment 2:* 16.5.9 *Conservation of Wetlands Including Vernal Pools* 

The vernal pools on site are not significant per a letter from Maine Department of Environmental Protection dated July 5, 2023. There are no proposed alterations to the wetlands on site. A portion of the cul-de-sac is constructed within the wetland buffer. We note that if the cul-de-sac were shifted west then the setback to the wetland could be increased.

Response: Terradyn Consultants studied the possibility of shifting the cul-de-sac to the west to increase the setback to the wetland, but this would negatively impact the viability of Lots 6 and 7.

#### Comment 3: 16.5.18 Net Residential Acreage

The applicant has presented calculations to show that the net residential acreage allows 14 dwelling units. The applicant is proposing 9 dwelling units.

Response: No Response Necessary.

#### 16.5.27 Streets and Pedestrianways/Sidewalks Site Design Standards

- Comment 4: 16.5.27.H.(4) The site distance in both directions on Bartlett Road should be indicated on the plans.
- Response: Site distance information for the proposed road has been added to the Subdivision Plan (Sheet C-3.0) and the Plan & Profile (Sheet C-3.1).

#### 16.8 SUBDIVISION REVIEW

#### **16.8.10 Performance Standards and Approval Criteria**

#### 16.8.10.D. Sewage Disposal

- *Comment 5:* 16.8.10.D.2.(a) *The developer Will need to submit plans for the subsurface wastewater disposal systems.*
- Response: Additional information on proposed wastewater disposal systems will be provided under separate cover.
- Comment 6: 16.8.10.D.2.(d)[1]. Test pits on Lots 3,4,6 and 7, have limiting factors within 24". Reserve disposal locations should be shown on each lot
- Response: Additional information on proposed wastewater disposal systems will be provided under separate cover.

#### 16.8.10.E. Stormwater and Surface Drainage

- Comment 7: The nodes on the pre- and post-development routing diagrams should be labeled to be consistent with watershed plan notes. For example, the notes describe study points flowing to Brave Boat Harbor and Spruce Creek, but it's unclear in the routing diagram which nodes correspond to which outlets.
- Response: The pre- and post-development models have been updated to correspond with the notes on the watershed plans.

- Comment 8: Pre-development and post-development curve numbers are both 76. With the proposed houses, driveways, and road, the post-development curve number should be higher.
- Response: The Post-development model was reviewed, and several areas of proposed lot development were adjusted to more accurately reflect the proposed condition. The curve number of the overall study area increases from 76 in the predevelopment model to 77 in the post-development model. The small increase is due to the fact that the proposed development area (4.9 acres including lot development) is small compared to the overall study area (55.3 acres).
- Comment 9: The post-construction peak rates of runoff appear to be mitigated by the inaccurate use of reaches in the form of large swales. The plans do not show any proposed swales where reaches are called for, so they cannot be used to lower peak rates.
- Response: Several areas of proposed development were delineated as separate subcatchments because they are hydrologically dissimilar to the larger, undeveloped subcatchments that make up much of the perimeter of the area included in the study. The overland flow from these upstream subcatchments is modeled using reaches with wide bottoms and very low side slopes. This is a common method of modeling that is supported by the HydroCAD reference documentation. The reach definitions were reviewed and revised to better reflect the on-site conditions.
- Comment 10: The drainage design should be revised to model pipe SD-1, which flows under the proposed road at its intersection with Bartlett Road.
- Response: Pipes SD-1 and SD-2 were not included in the post-development stormwater model, as they will have a negligible effect on the post-development peak rates of runoff, and adding complexity to the model affect its accuracy. However, capacity of the pipes was verified using HY-8 software. Calculations for both pipes are included in the updated stormwater report.

#### 16.8.10.G. Vehicular Traffic

- Comment 11: 16.8.10.G.(3)(a) The sight distances on Bartlett Road should be shown on the plans.
- Response: Site distance information for the proposed road has been added to the Subdivision Plan (Sheet C-3.0) and the Plan & Profile (Sheet C-3.1).

#### 16.8.10.J. Prevention of Erosion

- Comment 12: 16.8.10.J.(1)(a) Does excavation occur in the Resource protection overland zone? If so, a responsible person for management of erosion and sedimentation control practices will need to be defined during construction.
- Response: Excavation is not expected to occur in the Resource Protection Overland Zone.

#### <u>PLANS</u>

Cover Sheet	
Comment 13:	General Note 6 has a spelling error in the word "Maine".
Response:	General Note 6 has been updated to correct the spelling error.
Comment 14:	General Note 7 has a grammatical error with the word "is".
Response:	General Note 7 has been updated to correct the grammatical error.
Comment 15:	Several of the plan set names in the Sheet Index are different than those listed on the plans.
Response:	Sheet Index names have been updated
Existing Conditi	ons Plan
Comment 16:	The test pit locations should be numbered. Where is the corresponding test pit information?
Response:	All current test pits and labels have been added to the Existing Conditions Plan, corresponding to the Test Pit Logs previously submitted in Attachment 4 of the preliminary subdivision application.
Comment 17:	There are items in the legend that do not apply to the plan.
Response:	No Response Necessary
Comment 18:	The Resource Protection Overlay Zone should be shown on the plan.
Response:	The Resource Protection Overlay Zone has been added to the plan.
Comment 19:	The plan should be stamped by the wetland delineation professional.
Response:	The wetland delineation was certified by the wetland delineation professional and provided in attachment 6 of the preliminary application package. Reference to the wetland delineation is made in Note 10 of the plan.
Sheet C-2.0 Exi	isting Conditions & Demolition Plan

- Comment 20: The wetland boundary on Lot 26A should be shown.
- Response: Lot 26A is private property not controlled by the applicant. No permission has been granted by the landowner to access their land.

- Comment 21: Based on proposed grading and utilities on Sheet C-3.1, more than 46 feet of stone wall will need to be removed.
- Response: The extent of stone wall removal has been revised and is noted on sheet C-2.0.

#### Sheet C-3.0 Subdivision Plan

- Comment 22: The lines of sight should be shown on the Plan.
- Response: The lines of sight for the proposed road have been added to the Subdivision Plan.
- Comment 23: Note 4 lists the proposed minimum lot area as 25,000 SF but Lots 1,2 and 6 are less than that. Please clarify.
- Response: The proposed minimum lot size has been changed to 20,000 SF. Per section 16.10.3 of the Kittery Land Use and Development Code for a Conservation Subdivision, the Planning Board has the ability to modify dimensional standards including lot area.
- Comment 24: The Open Space Requirements calculations in Note 7 do not add up or correspond to calculations in Note 6. Please clarify.
- Response: The open space requirement calculations in Note 7 have been updated.

#### Sheet C-3.1 Plan & Profile

- Comment 25: The test pit numbers and locations appear to be different than those in the Class A High Intensity Soil Survey. Please clarify.
- Response: Test pits TP-1 through TP-24 were performed for the High Intensity Soil Survey. Test pits TP-25 through TP-46 were performed to provide additional soils data to show adequacy of soils to support subsurface wastewater disposal on proposed lots.
- Comment 26: Test pits on Lots 3,4,6 and 7, have limiting factors within 24". A second disposal location should be shown on each lot.
- Response: Additional information on wastewater disposal will be provided under a separate cover.
- *Comment 27:* The right-of-way width should be shown on the plan.
- Response: The right-of-way width is noted on sheet C-3.0

- Comment 28: The lines of sight on Bartlett Road should be shown.
- Response: Site distance information for the proposed road has been added to the Subdivision Plan (Sheet C-3.0) and the Plan & Profile (Sheet C-3.1).
- Comment 29: Proposed well locations and protective well radii should be shown on the plan.
- Response: Additional information on wastewater disposal and well locations will be provided under a separate cover.
- Comment 30: Silt fence and proposed tree lines run through the proposed level spreader. Adjust both lines so they go around the proposed work.
- Response: The silt fence and proposed tree line have been adjusted in the area of the proposed level spreader.
- Comment 31: This plan has multiple purposes (plan and profile, grading and drainage, erosion control and utilities) with overlapping linetypes that make it difficult to read. Separating these onto several plans would make the plans more legible.
- Response: The plan and line types have been updated to make the plan more legible.
- Sheet C-4.0 Erosion Control Notes & Details
- Comment 32: General Housekeeping Note 7 references the "department" and "Appendix C". Do these apply to this project?
- Response: The applicant will file a Stormwater Permit-by-Rule with the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) for the project. The "department" refers to the MDEP and "Appendix C" refers to MDEP Chapter 500.

Sheet C-4.1 Site Details

- Comment 33: The Typical Road Section and Typ. Driveway Pavement Section reference different pavement mixes. Is this the intent?
- Response: This detail has been updated for both sections to use the same mix.
- Comment 34: Does the Town of Kittery require lane joint adhesive at the pavement joint in Bartlett Road (only tack coat is specified)?
- Response: The contractor will follow all roadway construction requirements of the Town of Kittery.

Comment 35: "Installed" is misspelled in the Driveway Culvert detail.

Response: This has been updated.

Comment 16: The plans should provide a stop signal.

Response: A stop sign detail has been added to Sheet C-4.1

Comment 37: The plans should provide a striping detail.

Response: A stop bar stripe detail has been added to sheet C-4.1

We trust that the above responses and attached materials address the comments. Please contact me directly with additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely, **TERRADYN CONSULTANTS LLC** Nal S.V

Michael E. Tadema-Wielandt, P.E. Vice President

cc. Geoff Bowley, Beachwood Development Fund, LP



207.926.5111 info@terradynconsultants.com www.terradynconsultants.com

## BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION 77 BARTLETT ROAD, KITTERY, MAINE

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

## PREPARED FOR:

#### BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND LP P.O. BOX 261 KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043

## PREPARED BY:

#### TERRADYN CONSULTANTS LLC 565 CONGRESS STREET, SUITE 201 PORTLAND, MAINE 04101

October 2023

Pineland 41 Campus Drive, Suite 301 New Gloucester, ME 04260 Portland 565 Congress Street, Suite 201 Portland, ME 04101 Auburn 95 Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Auburn, ME 04210

#### Introduction

The following Stormwater Management Plan has been prepared for Bartlett Road Subdivision to evaluate stormwater runoff and erosion control for the proposed 9-lot subdivision.

#### Site Calculations

Below is a summary of existing and proposed impervious and developed areas on the project site.

Total Property Area	19.30 Ac (+/-)
Existing Impervious Area	0.18 Ac
Existing Developed Area	0.44 Ac
Proposed New Impervious	0.43 Ac
Proposed New Developed	0.93 Ac
Total Impervious Area	0.56 Ac
Total Developed Area	1.19 Ac

#### Existing Conditions

The project site is approximately 19.30 acres in size and is identified as Lot 26 on Kittery Tax Map 62. The site is located in the Residential-Rural District with a small area in the Resource Protection Overlay Zone.

The parcel contains an existing single-family home with a paved driveway connecting to Bartlett Road and a small cemetery in the eastern part of the site. Most of the parcel is undeveloped woodland with pockets of freshwater wetlands. Several stone walls are located throughout the site.

A wetland and vernal pool study was conducted on the site by Longview Partners in the summer of 2022. There are approximately 2 acres of forested freshwater wetlands on the site. A wetland on the southern site boundary meets the Maine DEP's criteria for a "Wetland of Special Significance". This wetland also has a mapped flood zone associated with it.

Two potential vernal pools were identified on the site and studied in the spring of 2023 to determine if they have characteristics to be considered significant wildlife habitat by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The vernal pools were determined to be not significant and are regulated as freshwater wetlands.

Longview Partners also conducted a High Intensity Soil Survey of the site. Native soils are primarily loamy glacial till and bedrock outcrops in upland areas with wetland soils in low-lying areas. A copy of the High Intensity Soil Survey is attached herein.

The site is generally bisected by two ridgelines, sloping gradually at approximately 2%. Stormwater from the site is split by the ridges and flows in four directions toward the on site wetlands.

The following existing conditions figures are provided in Appendix 1:

Figure 1	USGS Topographic Map	
Figure 2	Aerial Photograph	
Figure 3	NRCS Medium Intensity Soil Survey	
Figure 4	Federal Insurance Rate Map	
Figure 5	Aquifer Map	

#### Proposed Project

The proposed project includes of a 808' long dead-end road with 9 proposed house lots. The project will have 13.14 acres of open space surrounding the development. Each proposed lot ranges in size from 0.49 acres to 0.79 acres and meets all dimensional standards of the town's zoning ordinance. The existing house will occupy Lot 8 and will be accessed from the proposed road.

Lots will be served by public water and individual subsurface wastewater disposal systems.

#### Applicable Design Standards

The Town of Kittery's Ordinance Title 16, Part E, Section 4-a Stormwater runoff requires: *All components of the stormwater management system must be designed to limit peak discharge to predevelopment levels for the two-year and twenty-five-year, twenty-four-hour duration, frequencies, based on the rainfall data for Portsmouth, NH.* 

The project includes 0.43 Ac. of new impervious area and 0.93 Ac. of new developed area and does not require a stormwater permit-by rule in compliance with MDEP Chapter 500.

#### Stormwater Quantity Control

Stormwater Quantity control is required as part of town requirements for this project; the proposed development has been designed to minimize stormwater runoff from the site in excess of the natural pre-development conditions. A hydrologic analysis of pre-development and post-development conditions was conducted based upon the methodology contained in the USDA Soil Conservation Service's Technical Releases No. 20 and 55 (SCS TR-20 and TR-55). For Portsmouth, New Hampshire a 24-hour SCS Type III Storm distribution was used for the analysis using the following storm frequencies and rainfall amounts, per Maine DEP Chapter 500:

Storm Event	24-Hour Rainfall
2–Year Storm	3.3 inches
10–Year Storm	4.9 inches
25–Year Storm	6.2 inches

Runoff curve numbers, time of concentration, and travel time data were established based on methods outlined in the USDA TR-55 manual.

A minimum time of concentration of 5 minutes and a maximum sheet flow distance of 150 linear feet was used in the models.

#### **Pre-Development Conditions**

The pre-development HydroCAD model includes five (5) subcatchments and five (5) study points. Below is a summary of the study points:

Study Point SP1 – Study Point 1 is the eastern parcel boundary where on site flow travels through culvert under the southern section of Lynch Lane and to Brave Boat Harbor.

Study Point SP2 – Study Point 2 is the southern parcel boundary outletting through a culvert under Bartlett Road, to Smith Brook and to Brave Boat Harbor.

Study Point SP3 – Study Point 3 is the southern boundary where on site flow collects in a wetland and travels through a culvert under Bartlett Road and to Brave Boat Harbor.

Study Point SP4 – Study Point 4 is the western site boundary where on site flow collects in a wetland and travels to Spruce Creek.

Study Point SP5 – Study Point 5 is the eastern parcel boundary where on site flow travels through culvert under the northern section of Lynch Lane and to Brave Boat Harbor.

A Pre-Development Watershed Map, showing sub-watershed boundaries, time of concentration flow paths, and Study Points is provided in Appendix 5. The Pre-development HydroCAD model is attached in Appendix 6.

Pre-Development Peak Rates of Runoff (cfs)			
	2-Year	10-Year	25-Year
SP1	1.98	4.21	5.60
SP2	2.52	5.49	7.35
SP3	8.49	18.53	24.81
SP4	5.90	12.92	17.33
SP5	5.18	11.02	14.64

Existing condition peak rates of runoff at the Study Points are as follows:

The pre-development peak rates of runoff are a baseline used for comparison to the postdevelopment condition.

#### Post-Development Conditions

Stormwater runoff from the roadway will be managed with open ditches and level lip spreaders. The project was designed to meet the stormwater performance standards of the Town of Kittery Subdivision Regulations. Runoff from the cul-de-sac will be discharged to a level spreader and forested stormwater buffer for treatment. From the high point located at the cul-de-sac runoff down to approximate station 3+15 stormwater is treated by a level spreader, distributing flow to a forested buffer. The remainder of the proposed road will drain to vegetated swales located on either side of the road, which will provide an opportunity for sediment capture and runoff absorption. The stormwater management system will attenuate peak flow rates from the developed areas so peak discharge rates from the site will be limited to pre-development levels.

The proposed post-development HydroCAD model includes fourteen (14) subcatchments and five (5) study points. The study points remain the same from the pre-development model. A Post-development Watershed Map showing sub-watershed boundaries, time of concentration flow paths, and Study Points is provided in Appendix 5. The Post-development HydroCAD model is attached in Appendix 7.

Post-Development Peak Rates of Runoff (cfs)			
2-Year 10-Year 25-Year		25-Year	
SP1	1.81	4.11	5.57
SP2	2.51	5.24	6.92
SP3	8.41	17.97	23.91
SP4	5.87	12.68	16.94
SP5	4.56	10.22	13.97

Post-development peak rates of runoff at the Study Points are as follows:

#### Peak Flow Analysis

The results of the pre-development and post-development models were analyzed at the defined Study Points described above. The direct comparison of the pre-development and postdevelopment conditions at the Study Points are as follows:

Peak Runoff Flow Rates Comparison		
Storm Event	Pre-Development (cfs)	Post-Development (cfs)
	Study F	Point SP1
2-Year	1.98	1.81
10-Year	4.21	4.11
25-Year	5.60	5.57
	Study F	Point SP2
2-Year	2.52	2.51
10-Year	5.49	5.24
25-Year	7.35	6.92
	Study F	Point SP3
2-Year	8.49	8.41
10-Year	18.53	17.97
25-Year	24.81	23.91
	Study Point SP4	
2-Year	5.90	5.87
10-Year	12.92	12.68
25-Year	17.33	16.94
	Study Point SP5	
2-Year	5.18	4.56
10-Year	11.02	10.22
25-Year	14.64	13.97

The peak rates of runoff at all five study points are expected to decrease slightly in the 2, 10 & 25-year storm events. The reduction in peak flow rates is believed to be the result of modified timing of the peak rates of runoff from different tributary areas resulting from the proposed development. The relatively small amount of impervious area to be constructed, and the

associated increase in runoff volume and peak runoff rates from these areas of the site, is expected to be offset by the modified timing of peak runoff rates.

#### <u>Summary</u>

Based upon the results of this evaluation, the proposed project is not expected to cause flooding, erosion, or other significant adverse effects downstream of the site.

#### **Appendices**

- 1 Existing Conditions Figures
- 2 Watershed Maps
- 3 Pre-Development HydroCAD Model
- 4 Post-Development HydroCAD Model
- 5 Cross Culvert Sizing Calculations
- 6 Housekeeping
- 7 Inspection and Maintenance Manual

APPENDIX 1

**EXISTING CONDITIONS FIGURES** 



		ADDRESS:	PROJECT NO.	SHEET
USGS KITTERT QUADRANGLE		41 CAMPUS DRIVE, SUITE 301	00.445	
PROJECT		NEW GLOUCESTER, ME 04260	22-145	1
BARTI FTT ROAD SUBDIVISION		PHONE:	DATE	
77 BARTLETT ROAD, KITTERY, MAINE		(207) 926-5111		
PREPARED FOR	IERRADYN	WEB SITE:	3/20/2023	OF
BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND I P	CONSULTANTS, LLC	www.terradvnconsultants.com	SCALE	
PO BOX 260	Civil Engineering LL and	Surveying   Geomatics	4	I 3
KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043	Stormwater Design   Land Plan	ning   Environmental Permitting	1" = 2,000'	_



**AERIAL MAP** 

PROJECT: BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION 77 BARTLETT ROAD, KITTERY, MAINE

PREPARED FOR: BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND LP PO BOX 260 KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043



ADDRESS: 41 CAMPUS DRIVE, SUITE 301 NEW GLOUCESTER, ME 04260 PHONE: (207) 926-5111 WEB SITE: www.terradynconsultants.com

PROJECT NO.	SHEET
22-145	2
DATE	
3/20/2023	OF
SCALE	5
1" = 500'	5



PROJECT: BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION 77 BARTLETT ROAD, KITTERY, MAINE

PREPARED FOR: BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND LP PO BOX 260 KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043



41 CAMPUS DRIVE, SUITE 301		
NEW GLOUCESTER, ME 04260		
PHONE:		
(207) 926-5111		
WEB SITE:		
www.terradynconsultants.com		
Surveying   Geomatics		

PROJECT NO.	SHEET
22-145	3
DATE	5
3/20/2023	OF
SCALE	5
1" = 500'	





CONSULTANTS, LLC BEACHWOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND LP Civil Engineering | Land Surveying | Geomatics Stormwater Design | Land Planning | Environmental Permitting **PO BOX 260** KENNEBUNK, MAINE 04043

www.terradynconsultants.com SC/

DJECT NO.	SHEET
22-145	5
TE	
3/20/2023	OF
ALE	15
1" = 500'	5
# APPENDIX 2

WATERSHED MAPS



WATERSHED	10		
	TYPE	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
5 2	SF SCF	150 159	0.02 0.02
TERSHED	11		
-В	TYPE SF	LENGTH (FT) 150	SLOPE
	20		
	ТҮРЕ	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
4-В 3-С	SF SCF	150 328	0.01 0.01
VATERSHED	21		
AINIMUM 5 M	IINUTES		
VATERSHED	30		
4-В	TYPE SF	LENGTH (FT) 150	SLOPE 0.01
3-C	CF	1,212	0.01
NATERSHED	31 SE	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
-С	CF	120	0.02
VATERSHED	32		
-B	TYPE SF	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
	JF	130	0.02
VATERSHED	33 TYPE	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
	SF CF	80 147	0.02
		17/	J.UZ
WATERSHED MINIMUM 5 M	34 IINUTES		
WATERSHED	40		
	ТҮРЕ	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
ч-в 3-С	CF	702	0.005
WATERSHED	41		
	TYPE	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE
		6	50 
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			A)
			>
			\
			```
	/ . (	)	
			1



APPENDIX 3

PRE-DEVELOPMENT HYDROCAD MODEL



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.230	80	1/2 acre lots, 25% imp, HSG C (1S)
1.607	85	1/2 acre lots, 25% imp, HSG D (3S, 5S)
0.375	98	BARTLETT ROAD (2S, 3S)
0.052	98	EXISTING HOUSE (3S)
0.126	98	LOT DRIVEWAY (3S)
0.171	98	Lynch Ln (1S, 2S, 5S)
11.056	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S)
41.658	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S)
55.274	76	TOTAL AREA

PRE	Туре
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants	
HydroCAD® 10 10-6a, s/n 12055, © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions I	IC

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=15	8,935 sf 3.	.69% Impervio	ous Runoff Dep	th>0.90"
	Flow Length=309'	Tc=41.3 m	nin CN=77 I	Runoff=1.98 cfs	0.274 af
Subcatchment2S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=22	9,714 sf 3.	.99% Impervio	ous Runoff Dep	th>0.85"
	Flow Length=478'	Tc=46.3 m	nin CN=76 I	Runoff=2.52 cfs	0.373 af
Subcatchment 3S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=86	9,221 sf 3.	.04% Impervio	ous Runoff Dep	th>0.84"
Flow Length=1,362	' Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=56.8 m	nin CN=76 I	Runoff=8.49 cfs	1.405 af
Subcatchment4S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=71	8,114 sf 0.	.00% Impervio	ous Runoff Dep	th>0.84"
	Flow Length=852'	Tc=74.3 m	nin CN=76 I	Runoff=5.90 cfs	1.150 af
Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=43	1,736 sf 2.	.34% Impervio	ous Runoff Dep	th>0.90"
Flow Length=325	' Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=44.4 m	hin CN=77 I	Runoff=5.18 cfs	0.744 af
Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE			Р	Inflow=1.98 cfs rimary=1.98 cfs	0.274 af 0.274 af
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST			Р	Inflow=2.52 cfs rimary=2.52 cfs	0.373 af 0.373 af
Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST			Р	Inflow=8.49 cfs rimary=8.49 cfs	1.405 af 1.405 af
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY			Р	Inflow=5.90 cfs rimary=5.90 cfs	1.150 af 1.150 af
Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE			Р	Inflow=5.18 cfs rimary=5.18 cfs	0.744 af 0.744 af

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 ac Runoff Volume = 3.947 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.86" 97.86% Pervious = 54.091 ac 2.14% Impervious = 1.183 ac

### Summary for Subcatchment 1S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 1.98 cfs @ 12.61 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond SP-1 : LYNCH LANE 0.274 af, Depth> 0.90"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		3,368	98	Lynch Ln		
		10,000	80	1/2 acre lot	s, 25% imp	, HSG C
		20,000	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG Ċ	
	1	25,567	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
158,935 77 Weighted Average						
153,067 96.31% Pervious Area						
		5,868	;	3.69% Impe	ervious Area	a
	Тс	Length	Slope	<ul> <li>Velocity</li> </ul>	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	2.6	159	0.0400	1.00		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	41.3	309	Total			

### Subcatchment 1S: (new Subcat)



### Summary for Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 2.52 cfs @ 12.69 hrs, Volume= 0.373 af, Depth> 0.85" Routed to Pond SP-2 : BARTLETT ROAD EAST

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		1,470	98	Lynch Ln		
*		7,692	98	BARTLETT	ROAD	
		52,000	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
	1	68,552	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
229,714 76 Weighted Average					verage	
	2	20,552		96.01% Pei	vious Area	
9,162 3.99% Impervious Area					ervious Area	а
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	7.7	328	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	16.3	178	Total			

### Subcatchment 2S: (new Subcat)



### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 8.49 cfs @ 12.82 hrs, Volume= 1.405 af, Depth> 0.84" Routed to Pond SP-3 : BARTLETT ROAD WEST

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description		
	5	69,212	77 \	Noods, Go	od, HSG D	
	2	43,626	70	Noods, Go	od, HSG C	
*		5,490	98 I	LOT DRIVE	WAY	
*		8,628	98 I	BARTLETT	ROAD	
*		2,265	98 I	EXISTING I	HOUSE	
		40,000	85 <sup>-</sup>	1/2 acre lot	<u>s, 25% imp</u>	, HSG D
	8	69,221	76	Neighted A	verage	
	842,838 96.96% Pervious Area					
		26,383		3.04% Impe	ervious Area	a
	Tç	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	18.2	1,212	0.0100	1.11	18.06	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, B-C
						Bot.W=30.00' D=0.50' Z= 5.0 '/' Top.W=35.00'
_						n= 0.080 Earth, long dense weeds
	56.8	1,362	Total			



# Subcatchment 3S: (new Subcat)

### Summary for Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 5.90 cfs @ 13.07 hrs, Volume= 1.150 af, Depth> 0.84" Routed to Pond SP-4 : WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description		
5	92,160	77	Noods, Go	od, HSG D	
1	<u>25,954</u>	70	<u>Noods, Go</u>	<u>od, HSG C</u>	
7	18,114	76	Neighted A	verage	
7	18,114	·	100.00% Pe	ervious Are	a
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
50.9	150	0.0050	0.05		Sheet Flow, A-B
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
23.4	702	0.0100	0.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
74.3	852	Total			

### Subcatchment 4S: (new Subcat)



### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat)

Runoff = 5.18 cfs @ 12.65 hrs, Volume= 0.744 af, Depth> 0.90" Routed to Pond SP-5 : LYNCH LANE

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
		30,000	85	1/2 acre lot	s, 25% imp	, HSG D
		40,000	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG Ċ	
*		2,600	98	Lynch Ln		
	3	59,136	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
	4	31,736	77	Weighted A	verage	
	4	21,636		97.66% Pei	vious Area	
		10,100		2.34% Impe	ervious Area	a
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	5.8	175	0.0100	0.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	44.4	325	Total			

### Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat)



### Summary for Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE

Inflow Area = 3.649 ac, 3.69% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 0.90" for 2-YR event Inflow = 1.98 cfs @ 12.61 hrs, Volume= 0.274 af Primary = 1.98 cfs @ 12.61 hrs, Volume= 0.274 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min Routed to nonexistent node D2

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE

# Summary for Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST

Inflow Area	a =	5.274 ac,	3.99% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	0.85" 1	for 2-YR event				
Inflow	=	2.52 cfs @	12.69 hrs, Volume	e= 0.373	af					
Primary	=	2.52 cfs @	12.69 hrs, Volume	e= 0.373	af, Atter	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 mi	in			
Routed to nonexistent node D2										

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST

# Summary for Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST

Inflow Area	a =	19.955 ac,	3.04% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	0.84" fo	or 2-YR event					
Inflow	=	8.49 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume	e= 1.405	af						
Primary	=	8.49 cfs @	12.82 hrs, Volume	e= 1.405	af, Atten	= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min					
Routed to nonexistent node D1											

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST

### Summary for Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

Inflow Area	ı =	16.486 ac,	0.00% Impervice	ous, Inflow De	epth > 0.84'	for 2-YF	R event
Inflow	=	5.90 cfs @	13.07 hrs, Vol	ume=	1.150 af		
Primary	=	5.90 cfs @	13.07 hrs, Vol	ume=	1.150 af, A	tten= 0%, I	_ag= 0.0 min
Routed	to none	xistent node	D1				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

### Summary for Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE

Inflow Area = 9.911 ac, 2.34% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 0.90" for 2-YR event Inflow = 5.18 cfs @ 12.65 hrs, Volume= 0.744 af Primary = 5.18 cfs @ 12.65 hrs, Volume= 0.744 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min Routed to nonexistent node D2

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE

PRE	Type III 24-
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants	
HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions	LLC

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=158,935 sf 3.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.87" Flow Length=309' Tc=41.3 min CN=77 Runoff=4.21 cfs 0.570 af
Subcatchment2S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=229,714 sf 3.99% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.80" Flow Length=478' Tc=46.3 min CN=76 Runoff=5.49 cfs 0.789 af
Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) Flow Length=1,362	Runoff Area=869,221 sf 3.04% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.79" Slope=0.0100 '/' Tc=56.8 min CN=76 Runoff=18.53 cfs 2.973 af
Subcatchment4S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=718,114 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.77" Flow Length=852' Tc=74.3 min CN=76 Runoff=12.92 cfs 2.438 af
Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) Flow Length=325	Runoff Area=431,736 sf 2.34% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.87" ' Slope=0.0100 '/' Tc=44.4 min CN=77 Runoff=11.02 cfs 1.546 af
Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=4.21 cfs 0.570 af Primary=4.21 cfs 0.570 af
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST	Inflow=5.49 cfs 0.789 af Primary=5.49 cfs 0.789 af
Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST	Inflow=18.53 cfs 2.973 af Primary=18.53 cfs 2.973 af
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDAR	Inflow=12.92 cfs         2.438 af           Primary=12.92 cfs         2.438 af
Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=11.02 cfs 1.546 af Primary=11.02 cfs 1.546 af

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 ac Runoff Volume = 8.317 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.81" 97.86% Pervious = 54.091 ac 2.14% Impervious = 1.183 ac

PRE	Туре
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants	
HydroCAD® 10 10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions	

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=158,935 sf 3.69% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.49" Flow Length=309' Tc=41.3 min CN=77 Runoff=5.60 cfs 0.757 af
Subcatchment2S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=229,714 sf 3.99% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.40" Flow Length=478' Tc=46.3 min CN=76 Runoff=7.35 cfs 1.054 af
Subcatchment3S: (new Subcat) Flow Length=1,362	Runoff Area=869,221 sf 3.04% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.39" Slope=0.0100 '/' Tc=56.8 min CN=76 Runoff=24.81 cfs 3.972 af
Subcatchment4S: (new Subcat)	Runoff Area=718,114 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.37" Flow Length=852' Tc=74.3 min CN=76 Runoff=17.33 cfs 3.258 af
Subcatchment 5S: (new Subcat) Flow Length=325	Runoff Area=431,736 sf 2.34% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.49" Slope=0.0100 '/' Tc=44.4 min CN=77 Runoff=14.64 cfs 2.053 af
Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=5.60 cfs 0.757 af Primary=5.60 cfs 0.757 af
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST	Inflow=7.35 cfs 1.054 af Primary=7.35 cfs 1.054 af
Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST	Inflow=24.81 cfs 3.972 af Primary=24.81 cfs 3.972 af
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDAR	Inflow=17.33 cfs         3.258 af           Primary=17.33 cfs         3.258 af
Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=14.64 cfs 2.053 af Primary=14.64 cfs 2.053 af

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 ac Runoff Volume = 11.093 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.41" 97.86% Pervious = 54.091 ac 2.14% Impervious = 1.183 ac

# <u>APPENDIX 4</u>

POST-DEVELOPMENT HYDROCAD MODEL



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description		
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)		
1.377	80	1/2 acre lots, 25% imp, HSG C (50S)		
1.377	85	1/2 acre lots, 25% imp, HSG D (10S, 30S)		
0.166	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (52S)		
0.375	98	BARTLETT ROAD (20S, 30S, 31S)		
1.039	98	LOT IMP (11S, 21S, 31S, 32S, 33S, 35S, 41S, 51S)		
3.077	74	LOT LS (11S, 21S, 31S, 32S, 33S, 35S, 41S, 51S)		
0.171	98	Lynch Ln (10S, 20S, 50S)		
0.440	98	PROPOSED ROAD IMP (31S, 33S, 52S)		
0.320	74	PROPOSED ROAD LS (31S, 33S)		
10.211	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (10S, 20S, 30S, 31S, 32S, 33S, 40S, 41S, 50S, 51S)		
36.720	77	Woods, Good, HSG D (10S, 20S, 30S, 40S, 50S)		
55.274	77	TOTAL AREA		

POST	Type III 24-hr	2-YR Rair	nfall=2.90"
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants		Printed	10/6/2023
HydroCAD® 10 10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions I	IC		Page 3

ted 10/6/2023 Page 3

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment10S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=120	6,255 sf 6.69	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.91"
	Flow Length=309'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=32.9 min	CN=77 Ri	unoff=1.76 c	fs_0.219 af
Subcatchment11S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=15,	000 sf 25.00	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>1.08"
	Flow Length=77'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=7.8 min	CN=80 Ru	unoff=0.43 c	fs_0.031 af
Subcatchment 20S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=20	5,594 sf 4.24	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.90"
	Flow Length=478'	Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=49.5 min	CN=77 Ru	unoff=2.33 c	fs_0.354 af
Subcatchment21S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=14	022 sf 26.74 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=80 Ru	ıs Runoff D unoff=0.44 c	epth>1.08" fs_0.029 af
Subcatchment 30S:	UNEVELOPED	Runoff Area=74	6,720 sf 2.40	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.84"
	Flow Length=1,362'	Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=56.8 min	CN=76 Ru	unoff=7.29 c	fs_1.207 af
Subcatchment 31S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=48,	417 sf 23.89	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.97"
	Flow Length=220'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=9.8 min	CN=78 Ru	unoff=1.16 c	fs_0.090 af
Subcatchment 32S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	685 sf 19.89	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.96"
	Flow Length=145'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=18.9 min	CN=78 Ru	unoff=0.61 c	fs_0.060 af
Subcatchment 33S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=66,	727 sf 27.87	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>1.08"
	Flow Length=227'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=8.3 min	CN=80 Ru	unoff=1.88 c	fs_0.138 af
Subcatchment 35S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=20	000 sf 25.00 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=80 Ru	ıs Runoff D unoff=0.62 c	epth>1.08" fs_0.041 af
Subcatchment40S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=670 low Length=852'	6,897 sf 0.00 Tc=74.3 min	% Imperviou CN=76 Ru	ıs Runoff D unoff=5.56 c	epth>0.84" fs_1.084 af
Subcatchment41S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=37	214 sf 20.15	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.97"
	Flow Length=117'	Slope=0.0500 '/'	Tc=7.6 min	CN=78 Ru	unoff=0.96 c	fs_0.069 af
Subcatchment 50S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=373 low Length=309'	3,177 sf 4.70 Tc=41.3 min	% Imperviou CN=76 Ri	ıs Runoff D unoff=4.36 c	epth>0.85" fs_0.608 af
Subcatchment 51S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	629 sf 11.49	% Imperviou	ıs Runoff D	epth>0.82"
	Flow Length=101'	Slope=0.0600 '/'	Tc=6.3 min	CN=75 Ru	unoff=0.72 c	fs_0.051 af
Subcatchment 52S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=12	377 sf 41.45 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=84 Ri	ıs Runoff D unoff=0.48 c	epth>1.33" fs_0.032 af
Reach 11R: OVERL	AND FLOW Av	vg. Flow Depth=0	.01' Max Vel	=0.21 fps Ir	nflow=0.43 c	fs 0.031 af
	n=0.050 L=387	.0' S=0.0258 '/'	Capacity=186	3.32 cfs Ou	tflow=0.20 c	fs 0.030 af
Reach 21R: WETLA	<b>ND FLOW</b> Av	vg. Flow Depth=0	.05' Max Vel	=0.57 fps Ir	nflow=0.44 c	fs 0.029 af
	n=0.050 L=46	0.0' S=0.0228 '/'	Capacity=68	3.38 cfs Ou	tflow=0.28 c	fs 0.028 af

POST Prepared by Terradyn Consultants HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90" Printed 10/6/2023 LC Page 4
Reach 31R: BARTLETT RD DITCH         Avg. Flow Depth=0.19'         Ma           n=0.035         L=268.0'         S=0.0373 '/'         Capacit	ax Vel=2.32 fps Inflow=1.16 cfs 0.090 af ty=139.17 cfs Outflow=1.11 cfs 0.089 af
Reach 32AR: OVERLAND FLOWAvg. Flow Depth=0.01'Man=0.050L=20.0'S=0.5000 '/'Capacity=	ax Vel=0.76 fps Inflow=0.96 cfs 0.102 af =1,465.43 cfs Outflow=0.94 cfs 0.102 af
Reach 32BR: OFFSITE POND         Avg. Flow Depth=0.12'         Ma           n=0.050         L=103.0'         S=0.0097 '/'         Capacity	ax Vel=0.69 fps Inflow=2.52 cfs 0.238 af city=28.48 cfs Outflow=2.47 cfs 0.237 af
Reach 33BR: SF AFTER LEVEL         Avg. Flow Depth=0.18'         Ma           n=0.400         L=80.0'         S=0.0750 '/'         Capacity	ax Vel=0.25 fps Inflow=1.88 cfs 0.138 af city=81.50 cfs Outflow=1.60 cfs 0.137 af
Reach 41AR: OVERLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.02'         Ma           n=0.050         L=110.0'         S=0.0909 '/'         Capacit	ax Vel=0.56 fps Inflow=0.96 cfs 0.069 af ty=349.48 cfs Outflow=0.89 cfs 0.069 af
Reach 41BR: WETLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.10'         Ma           n=0.050         L=648.0'         S=0.0123 '/'         Capacity	ax Vel=0.68 fps Inflow=0.89 cfs 0.069 af city=34.31 cfs Outflow=0.58 cfs 0.066 af
Reach 51R: OVERLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.02'         Ma           n=0.050         L=389.0'         S=0.0051 '/'         Capacity	ax Vel=0.14 fps Inflow=0.72 cfs 0.051 af city=83.11 cfs Outflow=0.25 cfs 0.046 af
Reach 52R: SF AFTER LEVEL         Avg. Flow Depth=0.09'         Ma           n=0.400         L=83.0'         S=0.0723 '/'         Capacity	ax Vel=0.16 fps Inflow=0.48 cfs 0.032 af city=14.54 cfs Outflow=0.36 cfs 0.031 af
Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=1.81 cfs 0.249 af Primary=1.81 cfs 0.249 af
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST	Inflow=2.51 cfs 0.382 af Primary=2.51 cfs 0.382 af
Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST	Inflow=8.41 cfs 1.533 af Primary=8.41 cfs 1.533 af
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY	Inflow=5.87 cfs 1.151 af Primary=5.87 cfs 1.151 af
Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=4.56 cfs 0.685 af Primary=4.56 cfs 0.685 af

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 acRunoff Volume = 4.012 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.87"95.09% Pervious = 52.560 ac4.91% Impervious = 2.713 ac

### Summary for Subcatchment 10S: UNDEVELOPED

Runoff = 1.76 cfs @ 12.49 hrs, Volume= 0.219 af, Depth> 0.91" Routed to Pond SP-1 : LYNCH LANE

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN I	Description				
		20,000	85	1/2 acre lot	s, 25% imp	, HSG D		
		25,000	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG Ċ			
		77,814	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D			
*		3,441	98	Lynch Ln	-			
	1	26,255	77 \	Weighted A	verage			
117,814 93.31% Pervious Area								
		8,441	41 6.69% Impervious Area					
				-				
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	29.2	150	0.0200	0.09		Sheet Flow, A-B		
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"		
	3.7	159	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C		
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps		
	32.9	309	Total					

## Subcatchment 10S: UNDEVELOPED



### Summary for Subcatchment 11S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.43 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 11R : OVERLAND FLOW 0.031 af, Depth> 1.08"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
*		3,750	98	LOT IMP					
*		11,250	74	LOT LS					
		15,000	80	Weighted A	verage				
		11,250		75.00% Pervious Area					
		3,750		25.00% Imp	pervious Are	ea			
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	7.8	77	0.0200	0.16		Sheet Flow,			
						Grass: Short	n= 0.150	P2= 3.30"	





### Summary for Subcatchment 20S: UNDEVELOPED

Runoff = 2.33 cfs @ 12.72 hrs, Volume= 0.354 af, Depth> 0.90" Routed to Pond SP-2 : BARTLETT ROAD EAST

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
*		1,470	98	98 Lynch Ln						
*		7,256	98	BARTLETT	ROAD					
		27,243	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C					
	1	69,625	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D					
	2	05,594	77	Weighted A	verage					
196.868 95.76% Pervious Area										
		8,726		4.24% Impe	ervious Area	а				
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B				
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"				
	10.9	328	0.0100	0.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C				
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				
	10.5	/78	Total							

### Subcatchment 20S: UNDEVELOPED



### Summary for Subcatchment 21S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.44 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 21R : WETLAND FLOW 0.029 af, Depth> 1.08"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description					
*	3,750	98	LOT IMP					
*	10,272	74	LOT LS					
	14,022	80	Weighted A	verage				
	10,272		73.26% Pervious Area					
	3,750		26.74% Imp	ea				
	Ta law whe	<u>Olan</u>		O a m a aite a	Description			
,	IC Length	Siop	e velocity	Capacity	Description			
(	<u>min) (feet)</u>	(ft/1	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	5.0				Direct Entry, MIN			

### Subcatchment 21S: P LOTS



### Summary for Subcatchment 30S: UNEVELOPED

Runoff = 7.29 cfs @ 12.82 hrs, Volume= 1.207 af, Depth> 0.84" Routed to Pond SP-3 : BARTLETT ROAD WEST

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	5	73,893	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
	1	24,871	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
*		7,956	98	BARTLETT	ROAD	
		40,000	85	1/2 acre lot	s, 25% imp,	, HSG D
746,720 76 Weighted Average			Weighted A	verage		
728,764 97.60% Pervious Are			97.60% Pei	vious Area		
17,956 2.40% Impervious Area			2.40% Impe	ervious Area	3	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	18.2	1,212	0.0100	1.11	18.06	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, B-C
						Bot.W=30.00' D=0.50' Z= 5.0 '/' Top.W=35.00'
						n= 0.080 Earth, long dense weeds
	56.8	1 362	Total			

### Subcatchment 30S: UNEVELOPED



### Summary for Subcatchment 31S: P ROAD

Runoff = 1.16 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 0.090 af, Depth> 0.97" Routed to Reach 31R : BARTLETT RD DITCH

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description			
*	1,119	98	BARTLETT	ROAD		
*	7,948	98	PROPOSED ROAD IMP			
*	10,365	74	PROPOSE	D ROAD LS	3	
*	2,500	98	LOT IMP			
*	7,500	74	LOT LS			
	18,985	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C		
	48,417	78	Weighted A	verage		
	36,850 76.11% Pervious Area			vious Area		
	11,567 23.89% Impervious Are			pervious Are	ea	
Т	c Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description	
(mir	n) (feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)		
9.	6 100	0.0200	0.17		Sheet Flow, A-B	
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.30"	
0.	2 120	0.0200	) 9.72	136.02	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, B-C	
					Bot.W=1.00' D=2.00' Z= 3.0 '/' Top.W=13.00'	
					n= 0.022 Earth, clean & straight	
9.	8 220	Total				



### Subcatchment 31S: P ROAD

### Summary for Subcatchment 32S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.61 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 32AR : OVERLAND FLOW 0.060 af, Depth> 0.96"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
*		6,500	98	LOT IMP				
*		18,750	74	LOT LS				
		7,435	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C			
32,685 78 Weighted Average					verage			
26,185 80.11% Pervious Area					rvious Area	l		
		6,500		19.89% Imp	pervious Are	ea		
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(	min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	18.9	145	0.020	0.13		Sheet Flow, A-B		

Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.30"



### Subcatchment 32S: P LOTS

### Summary for Subcatchment 33S: P ROAD

Runoff = 1.88 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.138 af, Depth> 1.08" Routed to Reach 33BR : SF AFTER LEVEL SPREADER

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
*		6,095	98	3 PROPOSED ROAD IMP				
*		3,563	74	PROPOSED ROAD LS				
*		12,500	98	LOT IMP				
*		37,500	74	LOT LS				
_		7,069	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C			
66,727 80 Weighted Average				Weighted A	verage			
48,132 72.13% Pervious Area					rvious Area			
18,595 27.87% Impervious Area				27.87% Imp	pervious Are	ea		
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	8.1	80	0.0200	0.17		Sheet Flow, A-B		
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.30"		
	0.2	147	0.0200	10.13	162.10	Trap/Vee/Rect Channel Flow, B-C		
						Bot.W=2.00' D=2.00' Z= 3.0 '/' Top.W=14.00'		
_						n= 0.022 Earth, clean & straight		
	8.3	227	Total					

### Subcatchment 33S: P ROAD



### Summary for Subcatchment 35S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.62 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 32AR : OVERLAND FLOW 0.041 af, Depth> 1.08"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	Area (sf	) CN	Description					
*	15,000	) 74	LOT LS					
*	5,000	D 98	LOT IMP					
	20,000	D 80	Weighted A	verage				
	15,000 75.00% Pervious Area							
	5,000	C	25.00% lmp	pervious Ar	ea			
	To Long	th Slor	Nolocity	Conocity	Description			
	(min) (fee	un Si∪µ ⊿t) (ft/	ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
	0.0				Breet Entry	,		
			5	Subcatch	ment 35S:	P LOTS		
				Hydro	graph			
	-							Bunoff
	0.65			0.62	cfs			
	0.6					Ту	pe III 24-hi	r
	0.55 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"						•	



### Summary for Subcatchment 40S: UNDEVELOPED

Runoff = 5.56 cfs @ 13.07 hrs, Volume= 1.084 af, Depth> 0.84" Routed to Pond SP-4 : WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
5	60,943	77	Woods, Good, HSG D					
115,954 70 Woods, Good, HSC			Woods, Go	od, HSG C				
676,897		76	Weighted Average					
676,897			100.00% Pervious Area					
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
50.9	150	0.0050	0.05		Sheet Flow, A-B			
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"			
23.4	702	0.0100	0.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C			
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
74.3	852	Total						

### Subcatchment 40S: UNDEVELOPED


#### Summary for Subcatchment 41S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 41AR : OVERLAND FLOW 0.069 af, Depth> 0.97"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	Ar	ea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		7,500	98	LOT IMP		
*	2	22,500	74	LOT LS		
		7,214	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
	1	37,214	78	Weighted A	verage	
	2	29,714		79.85% Per	vious Area	
		7,500		20.15% Imp	pervious Are	ea
(m	Tc nin)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/ft	e Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	7.6	117	0.050	0 0.26		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.30"





### Summary for Subcatchment 50S: UNDEVELOPED

Runoff = 4.36 cfs @ 12.62 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond SP-5 : LYNCH LANE 0.608 af, Depth> 0.85"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		2,528	98	Lynch Ln		
		60,000	80	1/2 acre lots	s, 25% imp	, HSG C
		93,383	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
	2	17,266	77	Woods, Go	od, HSG D	
	3	73,177	76	Weighted A	verage	
	3	55,649		95.30% Per	vious Area	
		17,528		4.70% Impe	ervious Area	а
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	38.6	150	0.0100	0.06		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.30"
	2.6	159	0.0400	1.00		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	41.3	309	Total			

## Subcatchment 50S: UNDEVELOPED



#### Summary for Subcatchment 51S: P LOTS

Runoff = 0.72 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 51R : OVERLAND FLOW 0.051 af, Depth> 0.82"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		11,250	74	LOT LS		
*		3,750	98	LOT IMP		
		17,629	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
		32,629	75	Weighted A	verage	
		28,879		88.51% Per	vious Area	
		3,750		11.49% Imp	pervious Are	ea
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	6.3	101	0.0600	0.27		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.30"





#### Summary for Subcatchment 52S: P ROAD

Runoff = 0.48 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.032 af, Depth> 1.33" Routed to Reach 52R : SF AFTER LEVEL SPREADER

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-YR Rainfall=2.90"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description		
*	5,130	98	PROPOSE	D ROAD IN	MP
	7,247	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
	12,377	84	Weighted A	verage	
	7,247		58.55% Pei	rvious Area	a
	5,130		41.45% lmp	pervious Ar	rea
-	Tc Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(mi	n) (feet)	(ft/ft	) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5	.0				Direct Entry, MIN

## Subcatchment 52S: P ROAD



#### Summary for Reach 11R: OVERLAND FLOW

Inflow Area = 0.344 ac, 25.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 1.08" for 2-YR event Inflow 0.43 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.031 af = 0.20 cfs @ 12.88 hrs, Volume= Outflow = 0.030 af, Atten= 54%, Lag= 45.9 min Routed to Pond SP-1 : LYNCH LANE Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.21 fps, Min. Travel Time= 30.3 min Avg. Velocity = 0.14 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 44.6 min Peak Storage= 359 cf @ 12.38 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.01', Surface Width= 101.84' Bank-Full Depth= 0.50' Flow Area= 75.0 sf, Capacity= 186.32 cfs 100.00' x 0.50' deep channel, n= 0.050 Scattered brush, heavy weeds Side Slope Z-value= 100.0 '/' Top Width= 200.00' Length= 387.0' Slope= 0.0258 '/' Inlet Invert= 62.00', Outlet Invert= 52.00' ‡ Reach 11R: OVERLAND FLOW Hydrograph Inflow 0.43 cfs 0 48 Outflow 0.46 Inflow Area=0.344 ac 0.44 0.42 Avg. Flow Depth=0.01' 0.4 0.38 Max Vel=0.21 fps 0.36 0.34 0.32 n=0.050 0.3 0.28 (s) 0.28 0.26 L=387.0' 0.24 Flow 0.20 cfs 0.22 S=0.0258 '/' 0.2 0.18 Capacity=186.32 cfs 0 16 0.14 0.12 0.1 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0-17 19 5 6 Ż 8 ġ 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 20

Time (hours)

#### Summary for Reach 21R: WETLAND FLOW



#### Summary for Reach 31R: BARTLETT RD DITCH



#### Summary for Reach 32AR: OVERLAND FLOW



### Summary for Reach 32BR: OFFSITE POND

 Inflow Area =
 2.741 ac, 25.20% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 1.04" for 2-YR event

 Inflow =
 2.52 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume=
 0.238 af

 Outflow =
 2.47 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume=
 0.237 af, Atten= 2%, Lag= 4.4 min

 Routed to Pond SP-3 : BARTLETT ROAD WEST
 2.27 af, Atten= 2%, Lag= 4.4 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.69 fps, Min. Travel Time= 2.5 min Avg. Velocity = 0.25 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 6.9 min

Peak Storage= 370 cf @ 12.31 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.12' , Surface Width= 31.17' Bank-Full Depth= 0.50' Flow Area= 16.3 sf, Capacity= 28.48 cfs

30.00' x 0.50' deep channel, n= 0.050 Scattered brush, heavy weeds Side Slope Z-value= 5.0 '/' Top Width= 35.00' Length= 103.0' Slope= 0.0097 '/' Inlet Invert= 48.00', Outlet Invert= 47.00'



#### **Reach 32BR: OFFSITE POND**



#### Summary for Reach 33BR: SF AFTER LEVEL SPREADER



#### Summary for Reach 41AR: OVERLAND FLOW



Inflow Area =

#### Summary for Reach 41BR: WETLAND FLOW

0.854 ac, 20.15% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 0.96" for 2-YR event

Inflow 0.89 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.069 af = 0.58 cfs @ 12.64 hrs, Volume= Outflow = 0.066 af, Atten= 35%, Lag= 25.5 min Routed to Pond SP-4 : WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.68 fps, Min. Travel Time= 15.8 min Avg. Velocity = 0.29 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 37.4 min Peak Storage= 552 cf @ 12.37 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.10', Surface Width= 9.00' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 13.0 sf, Capacity= 34.31 cfs 8.00' x 1.00' deep channel, n= 0.050 Scattered brush, heavy weeds Side Slope Z-value = 5.0 '/' Top Width = 18.00' Length= 648.0' Slope= 0.0123 '/' Inlet Invert= 49.00', Outlet Invert= 41.00' ‡ Reach 41BR: WETLAND FLOW Hydrograph Inflow 0.89 cfs Outflow 0.95 Inflow Area=0.854 ac 0.9 0.85 Avg. Flow Depth=0.10' 0.8 0.75 Max Vel=0.68 fps 0.7 0.65 0.58 cfs n=0.050 0.6 (cfs) L=648.0' 0.55 0.5 Flow S=0.0123 '/' 0.45 0.4 Capacity=34.31 cfs 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0-8 17 6 Ż ġ 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 5 Time (hours)

#### Summary for Reach 51R: OVERLAND FLOW

Inflow Area = 0.749 ac, 11.49% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 0.82" for 2-YR event Inflow 0.72 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.051 af = 0.25 cfs @ 13.23 hrs, Volume= 0.046 af, Atten= 66%, Lag= 67.3 min Outflow = Routed to Pond SP-5 : LYNCH LANE Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.14 fps, Min. Travel Time= 45.7 min Avg. Velocity = 0.08 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 80.8 min Peak Storage= 680 cf @ 12.47 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.02', Surface Width= 103.44' Bank-Full Depth= 0.50' Flow Area= 75.0 sf, Capacity= 83.11 cfs 100.00' x 0.50' deep channel, n= 0.050 Scattered brush, heavy weeds Side Slope Z-value= 100.0 '/' Top Width= 200.00' Length= 389.0' Slope= 0.0051 '/' Inlet Invert= 52.00', Outlet Invert= 50.00' ‡ Reach 51R: OVERLAND FLOW Hydrograph Inflow 0.72 cfs 0.8 Outflow Inflow Area=0.749 ac 0.75 0.7 Avg. Flow Depth=0.02' 0.65 Max Vel=0.14 fps 0.6 0.55 n=0.050 0.5 (cfs) 0.45 L=389.0' 0.4 Flow S=0.0051 '/' 0.35 Capacity=83.11 cfs 0.3 0.25 cfs 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 6 Ż 8 17 ġ 10 11 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 5 12

Time (hours)

#### Summary for Reach 52R: SF AFTER LEVEL SPREADER



### Summary for Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE

Inflow Area = 3.243 ac, 8.63% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 0.92" for 2-YR event Inflow = 1.81 cfs @ 12.51 hrs, Volume= 0.249 af Primary = 1.81 cfs @ 12.51 hrs, Volume= 0.249 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min Routed to nonexistent node 1P

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE

## Summary for Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST

Inflow Area	ı =	5.042 ac,	5.68% Imperv	ious, Inflow De	epth > 0.9	91" for 2-Y	R event
Inflow	=	2.51 cfs @	12.70 hrs, Vo	olume=	0.382 af		
Primary	=	2.51 cfs @	12.70 hrs, Vo	olume=	0.382 af,	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Routed	to none>	kistent node	1P				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST

## Summary for Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST

Inflow Area	ı =	20.995 ac,	6.52% Imper	vious, Inflow De	pth > 0.8	8" for 2-YF	R event
Inflow	=	8.41 cfs @	12.75 hrs, V	/olume=	1.533 af		
Primary	=	8.41 cfs @	12.75 hrs, V	/olume=	1.533 af, J	Atten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Routed	to none	xistent node	1P				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST

## Summary for Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

Inflow Area	ı =	16.394 ac,	1.05% Imperviou	s, Inflow Depth	n> 0.84"	for 2-YR event	
Inflow	=	5.87 cfs @	13.02 hrs, Volu	ne= 1.1	151 af		
Primary	=	5.87 cfs @	13.02 hrs, Volu	ne= 1.′	151 af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 m	nin
Routed	to none:	xistent node	1P				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY

## Summary for Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE

Inflow Area	a =	9.600 ac,	6.31% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth >	0.86"	for 2-Y	R event
Inflow	=	4.56 cfs @	12.61 hrs,	Volume	= 0.685	af		
Primary	=	4.56 cfs @	12.61 hrs,	Volume	= 0.685	af, At	ten= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Routed	to none>	kistent node	1P					

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



## Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE

POST	Type III 24-hr	10-YR Rair	nfall=4.30"
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants		Printed	10/6/2023
HvdroCAD® 10 10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HvdroCAD Software Solutions			Page 1

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment10S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=12	6,255 sf 6.69	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	n>1.88"
	Flow Length=309'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=32.9 min	CN=77 Rui	noff=3.75 cfs(	).454 af
Subcatchment11S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=15	,000 sf 25.00	% Impervious	Runoff Depti	n>2.13"
	Flow Length=77'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=7.8 min	CN=80 Rui	noff=0.86 cfs(	).061 af
Subcatchment 20S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=20	5,594 sf 4.24	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	h>1.87"
	Flow Length=478'	Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=49.5 min	CN=77 Rui	noff=4.95 cfs(	).735 af
Subcatchment 21S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=14	,022 sf 26.74 Tc=5.0 min	% Impervious CN=80 Rui	Runoff Deptl noff=0.87 cfs(	h>2.13" ).057 af
Subcatchment 30S:	UNEVELOPED	Runoff Area=74	6,720 sf 2.40	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	h>1.79"
	Flow Length=1,362' S	lope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=56.8 min	CN=76 Rune	off=15.92 cfs 2	2.554 af
Subcatchment 31S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=48	,417 sf 23.89	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	h>1.97"
	Flow Length=220'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=9.8 min	CN=78 Rui	noff=2.40 cfs(	).183 af
Subcatchment 32S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	,685 sf 19.89	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	n>1.97"
	Flow Length=145'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=18.9 min	CN=78 Rui	noff=1.28 cfs(	).123 af
Subcatchment 33S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=66	,727 sf 27.87	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	n>2.13"
	Flow Length=227'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=8.3 min	CN=80 Rui	noff=3.75 cfs(	).272 af
Subcatchment 35S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=20	,000 sf 25.00 Tc=5.0 min	% Impervious CN=80 Rui	Runoff Deptl noff=1.23 cfs(	h>2.13" ).082 af
Subcatchment40S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=67	6,897 sf 0.00	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	n>1.77"
	Flo	w Length=852'	Tc=74.3 min	CN=76 Rune	off=12.18 cfs 2	2.298 af
Subcatchment41S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=37	,214 sf 20.15	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	n>1.97"
	Flow Length=117'	Slope=0.0500 '/	' Tc=7.6 min	CN=78 Rui	noff=1.99 cfs(	).141 af
Subcatchment 50S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=37 ow Length=309'	3,177 sf 4.70 Tc=41.3 min	% Impervious CN=76 Rui	Runoff Deptl noff=9.49 cfs 1	h>1.80" I.285 af
Subcatchment51S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	,629 sf 11.49	% Impervious	Runoff Deptl	h>1.75"
	Flow Length=101'	Slope=0.0600 '/	' Tc=6.3 min	CN=75 Rui	noff=1.61 cfs(	).109 af
Subcatchment 52S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=12	,377 sf 41.45 Tc=5.0 min	% Impervious CN=84 Rui	Runoff Deptl noff=0.88 cfs(	n>2.47" ).058 af
Reach 11R: OVERL	AND FLOW Av	vg. Flow Depth=0	0.02' Max Vel	=0.30 fps Inf	low=0.86 cfs(	).061 af
	n=0.050 L=387	.0' S=0.0258 '/'	Capacity=186	5.32 cfs Outf	low=0.48 cfs(	).060 af
Reach 21R: WETLA	ND FLOW Av	vg. Flow Depth=0	).08' Max Vel	=0.77 fps Inf	low=0.87 cfs(	).057 af
	n=0.050 L=46	0.0' S=0.0228 '/	' Capacity=68	3.38 cfs Outf	low=0.63 cfs(	).056 af

POST Prepared by Terradyn Consultants HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solution	"Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=4.30 Printed 10/6/2023 ns LLC Page 2
Reach 31R: BARTLETT RD DITCH         Avg. Flow Depth=0.28'           n=0.035         L=268.0'           S=0.0373 '/'         Cap	Max Vel=2.92 fps Inflow=2.40 cfs 0.183 af acity=139.17 cfs Outflow=2.31 cfs 0.182 af
Reach 32AR: OVERLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.01'           n=0.050         L=20.0'         S=0.5000 '/'         Capacity	Max Vel=0.98 fps Inflow=1.99 cfs 0.205 af city=1,465.43 cfs Outflow=1.98 cfs 0.205 af
Reach 32BR: OFFSITE POND         Avg. Flow Depth=0.18'           n=0.050         L=103.0'         S=0.0097 '/'         Category	Max Vel=0.91 fps Inflow=5.15 cfs 0.475 af pacity=28.48 cfs Outflow=5.05 cfs 0.473 af
Reach 33BR: SF AFTER LEVEL         Avg. Flow Depth=0.25'           n=0.400         L=80.0'         S=0.0750 '/'         Ca	Max Vel=0.30 fps Inflow=3.75 cfs 0.272 af pacity=81.50 cfs Outflow=3.28 cfs 0.270 af
Reach 41AR: OVERLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.02'           n=0.050         L=110.0'           S=0.0909 '/'         Cap	Max Vel=0.75 fps Inflow=1.99 cfs 0.141 af pacity=349.48 cfs Outflow=1.87 cfs 0.140 af
Reach 41BR: WETLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.17'           n=0.050         L=648.0'         S=0.0123 '/'         Ca	Max Vel=0.94 fps Inflow=1.87 cfs 0.140 af pacity=34.31 cfs Outflow=1.39 cfs 0.137 af
Reach 51R: OVERLAND FLOW         Avg. Flow Depth=0.03'           n=0.050         L=389.0'         S=0.0051 '/'         Ca	Max Vel=0.21 fps Inflow=1.61 cfs 0.109 af pacity=83.11 cfs Outflow=0.73 cfs 0.103 af
Reach 52R: SF AFTER LEVEL         Avg. Flow Depth=0.12'           n=0.400         L=83.0'         S=0.0723 '/'         Ca	Max Vel=0.19 fps Inflow=0.88 cfs 0.058 af pacity=14.54 cfs Outflow=0.68 cfs 0.058 af
Pond SP-1: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=4.11 cfs 0.514 af Primary=4.11 cfs 0.514 af
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST	Inflow=5.24 cfs 0.791 af Primary=5.24 cfs 0.791 af
Pond SP-3: BARTLETT ROAD WEST	Inflow=17.97 cfs 3.210 af Primary=17.97 cfs 3.210 af
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY	Inflow=12.68 cfs 2.435 af Primary=12.68 cfs 2.435 af
Pond SP-5: LYNCH LANE	Inflow=10.22 cfs 1.445 af Primary=10.22 cfs 1.445 af

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 acRunoff Volume = 8.413 afAverage Runoff Depth = 1.83"95.09% Pervious = 52.560 ac4.91% Impervious = 2.713 ac

POST	Type III 24-hr	25-YR R
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants		Print
HvdroCAD® 10 10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HvdroCAD Software Solutions		

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment10S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=12	6,255 sf 6.69	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.50"
	Flow Length=309'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=32.9 min	CN=77 Rι	Inoff=4.97	cfs_0.603 af
Subcatchment11S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=15	,000 sf 25.00	% Imperviou	s Runoff [	Depth>2.78"
	Flow Length=77'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=7.8 min	CN=80 Rι	Inoff=1.12 (	cfs_0.080 af
Subcatchment 20S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=20	5,594 sf 4.24	% Imperviou	s Runoff [	Depth>2.48"
	Flow Length=478'	Slope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=49.5 min	CN=77 Rι	Inoff=6.58 (	cfs_0.976 af
Subcatchment 21S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=14	,022 sf 26.74 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=80 Rι	s Runoff [ Inoff=1.12	Depth>2.79" cfs_0.075 af
Subcatchment 30S:	UNEVELOPED	Runoff Area=74	6,720 sf 2.40	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.39"
	Flow Length=1,362' S	lope=0.0100 '/'	Tc=56.8 min	CN=76 Rur	noff=21.31 (	cfs_3.412 af
Subcatchment 31S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=48	,417 sf 23.89	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.60"
	Flow Length=220'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=9.8 min	CN=78 Rι	Inoff=3.16	cfs_0.241 af
Subcatchment 32S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	,685 sf 19.89	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.60"
	Flow Length=145'	Slope=0.0200 '/'	Tc=18.9 min	CN=78 Rι	Inoff=1.69	cfs_0.162 af
Subcatchment 33S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=66	,727 sf 27.87	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.78"
	Flow Length=227'	Slope=0.0200 '/	' Tc=8.3 min	CN=80 Rι	Inoff=4.88	cfs_0.355 af
Subcatchment 35S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=20	,000 sf 25.00 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=80 Rι	s Runoff I Inoff=1.60	Depth>2.79" cfs_0.107 af
Subcatchment40S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=67	6,897 sf 0.00	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.37"
	Flo	w Length=852'	Tc=74.3 min	CN=76 Rur	noff=16.33 (	cfs_3.071 af
Subcatchment41S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=37	,214 sf 20.15	% Imperviou	s Runoff I	Depth>2.61"
	Flow Length=117'	Slope=0.0500 '/	' Tc=7.6 min	CN=78 Rι	Inoff=2.62	cfs_0.185 af
Subcatchment 50S:	UNDEVELOPED	Runoff Area=37	3,177 sf 4.70	% Imperviou	s Runoff [	Depth>2.40"
	Flo	w Length=309'	Tc=41.3 min	CN=76 Rur	noff=12.69 (	cfs_1.715 af
Subcatchment51S:	P LOTS	Runoff Area=32	,629 sf 11.49	% Imperviou	s Runoff [	Depth>2.35"
	Flow Length=101'	Slope=0.0600 '/	' Tc=6.3 min	CN=75 Rι	Inoff=2.16	cfs_0.147 af
Subcatchment 52S:	P ROAD	Runoff Area=12	,377 sf 41.45 Tc=5.0 min	% Imperviou CN=84 Ru	s Runoff [ Inoff=1.12	Depth>3.16" cfs_0.075 af
Reach 11R: OVERL	AND FLOW Av	/g. Flow Depth=0	0.02' Max Vel	=0.34 fps In	flow=1.12 (	cfs 0.080 af
	n=0.050 L=387.	.0' S=0.0258 '/'	Capacity=186	3.32 cfs Out	flow=0.67 (	cfs 0.078 af
Reach 21R: WETLA	ND FLOW Av	/g. Flow Depth=0	).09' Max Vel	=0.86 fps In	flow=1.12 (	cfs 0.075 af
	n=0.050 L=460	0.0' S=0.0228 '/	' Capacity=68	3.38 cfs Out	flow=0.86 (	cfs 0.073 af

POST	Type III 24-hr 25-YR Rainfal	//=5.10"
Prepared by Terradyn Consultants	Printed 10	/6/2023
HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 12055 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solution	ns LLC	Page 4
		0.044 6
Reach 31R: BARILEII RD DIICH Avg. Flow Depth=0.33	Max Vel=3.18 fps Inflow=3.16 cfs	0.241 at
11-0.035 L-200.0 S-0.03737 Cap	Dacity-139.17 Cis Outilow-3.04 Cis	0.241 ai
Reach 32AR: OVERI AND FLOW Avg. Flow Depth=0.01'	Max Vel=1.11 fps Inflow=2.62 cfs	0.269 af
n=0.050 L=20.0' S=0.5000 '/' Capad	city=1,465.43 cfs Outflow=2.61 cfs	0.269 af
Reach 32BR: OFFSITE PONDAvg. Flow Depth=0.21'	Max Vel=1.02 fps Inflow=6.75 cfs	0.622 af
n=0.050 L=103.0' S=0.0097 '/' Ca	apacity=28.48 cfs Outflow=6.62 cfs	0.620 af
<b>Poach 33BD: SE AETED   EVEI</b> Ava Flow Depth=0.28'	Max Vel=0.32 fps Inflow=4.88 cfs	0 355 af
n=0.400 L=80.0' S=0.0750 '/' Ca	$a_{1}$ apacity=81.50 cfs Outflow=4.30 cfs	0.353 af
Reach 41AR: OVERLAND FLOW Avg. Flow Depth=0.03'	Max Vel=0.84 fps Inflow=2.62 cfs	0.185 af
n=0.050 L=110.0' S=0.0909 '/' Cap	pacity=349.48 cfs Outflow=2.45 cfs	0.185 af
		0 405 -4
<b>Reach 41BR: WEILAND FLOW</b> Avg. Flow Depth=0.20'	Max vel=1.05 fps Inflow=2.45 cfs	0.185 at 0.181 of
11-0.000 E-040.0 3-0.0123 / Ca		0.101 ai
Reach 51R: OVERLAND FLOW Avg. Flow Depth=0.04'	Max Vel=0.25 fps Inflow=2.16 cfs	0.147 af
n=0.050 L=389.0' S=0.0051 '/' Ca	apacity=83.11 cfs Outflow=1.07 cfs	0.140 af
		•
Reach 52R: SF AFTER LEVEL Avg. Flow Depth=0.14'	Max Vel=0.21 fps Inflow=1.12 cfs	0.075 af
n=0.400 L=83.0° S=0.07237° Ca	apacity=14.54 crs Outflow=0.88 crs	0.074 af
Pond SP-1 I YNCH I ANF	Inflow=5.57 cfs	0.681 af
	Primary=5.57 cfs	0.681 af
	-	
Pond SP-2: BARTLETT ROAD EAST	Inflow=6.92 cfs	1.049 af
	Primary=6.92 cfs	1.049 af
Dond SD 3: BADTI ETT DOAD WEST	Inflow=23.91 cfs	1 273 of
FOND OF -3. DARTEET NOAD WEST	Primary=23.91 cfs	4.273 af
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pond SP-4: WESTERN SITE BOUNDARY	Inflow=16.94 cfs	3.253 af
	Primary=16.94 cfs	3.253 af
	L-8	1 000 - 5
rona Sr-3: LINUH LANE	INTIOW=13.97 CTS Primony=12.07 of	1.929 at
	Filliary-15.97 CIS	1.929 dl

Total Runoff Area = 55.274 ac Runoff Volume = 11.204 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.43" 95.09% Pervious = 52.560 ac 4.91% Impervious = 2.713 ac

# APPENDIX 5

# **CULVERT SIZING**



Culvert Analysis Design Worksheet

Sheet 1 of 1

## SD-1

## **Culvert Analysis Spreadsheet**



Outputs:

CAPACITY = 8.5 cfs

Manning's n value: 0.012 Entrance Coefficient, Ke: 0.9 Smooth\_HDPE Projecting - Thin Edge

**INLET CONTROLS (Between Submerged and Unsubmer** 



Culvert Analysis Design Worksheet

Sheet 1 of 1

## SD-2

## **Culvert Analysis Spreadsheet**



Outputs:

CAPACITY = 8.5 cfs

Manning's n value: 0.012 Entrance Coefficient, Ke: 0.9 Smooth\_HDPE Projecting - Thin Edge

**INLET CONTROLS (Between Submerged and Unsubmer** 

# APPENDIX 6

# HOUSEKEEPING

## HOUSEKEEPING PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR: Bartlett Road Subdivision Kittery, MAINE

Project Developer:	Beachwood Development Fund
	P.O. Box 261
	Kennebunk, ME 04043
<u>Responsible Party:</u>	Beachwood Development Fund
	P.O. Box 261
	Kennebunk. ME 04043

#### Introduction:

The contractor shall be responsible for maintaining proper housekeeping standards throughout the construction phase of the project. After the construction phase has been completed, the owner or operator of the project will be responsible.

#### **Standards:**

In accordance with the housekeeping performance standards required by MDEP chapter 500 stormwater regulations, the following standards shall be met:

- 1. Spill prevention. Controls must be used to prevent pollutants from being discharged from materials on site, including storage practices to minimize exposure of the materials to stormwater, and appropriate spill prevention, containment, and response planning and implementation.
- 2. Groundwater protection. During construction, liquid petroleum products and other hazardous materials with the potential to contaminate groundwater may not be stored or handled in areas of the site draining to an infiltration area. An "infiltration area" is any area of the site that by design or as a result of soils, topography and other relevant factors accumulates runoff that infiltrates into the soil. Dikes, berms, sumps, and other forms of secondary containment that prevent discharge to groundwater may be used to isolate portions of the site for the purposes of storage and handling of these materials.
- **3.** Fugitive sediment and dust. Actions must be taken to ensure that activities do not result in noticeable erosion of soils or fugitive dust emissions during or after construction. Oil may not be used for dust control.

Operations during wet months that experience tracking of mud off the site onto public roads should provide for sweeping of road areas at least once a week and prior to significant storm events. Where chronic mud tracking occurs, a stabilized construction entrance should be provided. Operations during dry months, that experience fugitive dust problems, should wet down the access roads once a week or more frequently as needed.

4. Debris and other materials. Litter, construction debris, and chemicals exposed to stormwater must be prevented from becoming a pollutant source.

To prevent these materials from becoming a source of pollutants, construction and postconstruction activities related to a project may be required to comply with applicable provision of rules related to solid, universal, and hazardous waste, including, but not limited to, the Maine solid waste and hazardous waste management rules; Maine hazardous waste management rules; Maine oil conveyance and storage rules; and Maine pesticide requirements.

- 5. Trench or foundation de-watering. Trench de-watering is the removal of water from trenches, foundations, coffer dams, ponds, and other areas within the construction area that retain water after excavation. In most cases the collected water is heavily silted and hinders correct and safe construction practices. The collected water must be removed from the ponded area, either through gravity or pumping, and must be spread through natural wooded buffers or removed to areas that are specifically designed to collect the maximum amount of sediment possible, like a cofferdam sedimentation basin. Avoid allowing the water to flow over disturbed areas of the site. Equivalent measures may be taken if approved by the department.
- **6.** Non-stormwater discharges. Identify and prevent contamination by non-stormwater discharges.

# APPENDIX 7

## **STORMWATER INSPECTION & MAINTENENACE**



# BARTLETT ROAD SUBDIVISION KITTERY, MAINE

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE PLAN

Project Owner/Developer:	Beachwood Development Fund P.O. Box 261 Kennebunk, Maine 04043 (207) 985-3646
Responsible Party:	Owner or Homeowners Association
Prepared By:	Terradyn Consultants, LLC 565 Congress Street, Suite 201 Portland, ME 04101 (207) 926-5111

## **INTRODUCTION:**

Regular inspection and maintenance of the entire stormwater management system is crucial to the long-term effectiveness of the system. The responsible party must provide regular inspection and maintenance of all permanent erosion control measures and stormwater management structures, establish any contract services required to implement the program, and keep records and a maintenance log book of inspection and maintenance activities. At a minimum, the inspection and maintenance activities outlined herein should be performed at the recommended intervals. A rainfall event of 1" in a 24 hour period would trigger a wet weather post-constrction inspection.

All measures must be maintained in effective operating condition. A person with knowledge of erosion and sedimentation practices, stormwater management, and the standards and conditions of all local, state and federal permits for the project shall conduct the inspections. The following areas, facilities, and measures must be inspected and identified deficiencies must be corrected.

Pineland 41 Campus Drive, Suite 301 New Gloucester, ME 04260 Portland 565 Congress Street, Suite 201 Portland, ME 04101 Auburn 95 Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Auburn, ME 04210

## **INSPECTION TASKS**

- 1. Inspect **vegetated areas**, particularly slopes and embankments, early in the growing season or after heavy rains to identify active or potential erosion problems. Replant bare areas or areas with sparse growth. Where rill erosion is evident, armor the area with an appropriate lining or divert the erosive flows to on-site areas able to withstand the concentrated flows.
- 2. Inspect ditches, swales and other open stormwater channels in the spring, late fall and after heavy rains to remove any obstructions to flow. Remove accumulated sediments and debris, control vegetated growth that could obstruct flow and repair any erosion of the ditch lining. Vegetated ditches must be mowed at least annually or otherwise maintained to control the growth of woody vegetation and maintain flow capacity. Any woody vegetation growing through riprap linings must also be removed. Repair any slumping side slopes as soon as practicable. If the ditch has a riprap lining, replace riprap on areas where any underlying filter fabric or underdrain gravel is showing through the stone or where stones have dislodged. The channel must receive routine maintenance to maintain capacity and prevent or correct any erosion of the channel's bottom or sideslopes.
- 3. Inspect **culverts** in the spring, in late fall, and after heavy rains to remove any obstructions to flow. Remove accumulated sediments and debris at the inlet, the outlet and within the culvert. Repair any erosion damage at the culvert's inlet and outlet.
- 4. Clear accumulations of winter sand **along roadways** at least once a year, preferably in the spring. Accumulations on pavement may be removed by pavement sweeping. Accumulations of sand along road shoulders may be removed by grading excess sand to the pavement edge and removing it manually or by a front-end loader. Grading of gravel roads, or grading of the gravel shoulders of gravel or paved roads, must be routinely performed to ensure that stormwater drains immediately off the road surface to adjacent buffer areas or stable ditches, and is not impeded by accumulations of graded material on the road shoulder or by excavation of false ditches in the shoulder.
- 5. Inspect **resource and treatment buffers** once a year for evidence of erosion, concentrating flow, and encroachment by development. If flows are concentrating within a buffer, site grading, level spreaders, or ditch turn-outs must be used to ensure a more even distribution of flow into a buffer. Check down slope of all spreaders and turn-outs for erosion. If erosion is present, adjust or modify the spreader or turnout lip to ensure a better distribution of flow into a buffer. Clean-out any accumulation of sediment within the spreader bays or turn-out pools.

## DOCUMENTATION

Keep a log (report) summarizing inspections, maintenance, and any corrective actions taken. The log must include the date on which each inspection or maintenance task was performed, a description of the inspection findings or maintenance completed, and the name of the inspector or maintenance personnel performing the task. If a maintenance task requires the clean-out of any sediments or debris, indicate where the sediment and debris was disposed after removal. The permittee shall retain a copy of the log for a period of at least five years from the completion of permanent stabilization.

The log attached at the end of this plan is from the *Maine Erosion and Sediment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) Manual for Designers and Engineers (May 2016).* The log may be used or adapted for this project.

#### **ATTACHMENTS:**

Stormwater Management Facilities Inspection & Maintenance Log

Pe	Sto ost Cons Bartlett	rmwater truction Road S	Manageme Inspection ubdivisior	ent Facilities & Maintenance Log n, Kittery, Maine		
General Information	on:					
Inspected by:			Date:	Weather:		
Reason for Inspectior	n: (Regular I	nspection)	(Major Rain Event, 1" in 24 hours)			
ВМР		Conditions Observed		Repairs Needed 2	: nanaaN	
1. Vegetated Areas						
2. Ditches, Swales, Open Channels						
3. Culverts						
4. Stormwater Buffers	6					
		Deta	ailed Repair No	otes:		
BMP Type Date Descripti			on of Repairs	& Sediment Disposal		

Notes:

If a maintenance task requires the clean-out of any sediments or debris, indicate where the sediment and debris was disposed after removal. A copy of this log shall be retained for a period of at least five years from the completion of permanent stabilization.