

Two thoughts to consider

Shaye Robbins <[REDACTED]>

Tue 1/25/2022 9:26 AM

To:town comments <tcomments@kitteryme.org>;

Hello,

I would like to reiterate that currently Marijuana is an illegal drug according to the US Federal Government. I have written, and spoken in favor of taking a conservative approach to this issue in our town by asking the Town of Kittery Council to vote NOT to allow recreational marijuana until and at which time the US Federal law change regarding the classification and legality. A reversal to this conservative, and low cost approach should be considered.

One member of the Council, Councilor Clark, has participated in conversations, planning, and advocating for or against recreational marijuana policy and planning in the community. Councilor Clark is also in the business of marijuana sales. This is not to disparage Mr Clark, who has provided a great deal of personal time to the Town as a member of the Council, but merely to ensure that any issue arising from this participation is clearly understood by all, and can be refuted or repaired as needed.

In your executive session on 1/27/22 with Council, could both of these items be touched upon. 1.) Is it possible for the Town Council to vote to hold all recreational marijuana sales and instead wait until national marijuana rules change. Allowing a federally illegal drug to cost our town valuable staff time and considerable dollars is frustrating to say the least.

In the second case, could Council reiterate the rules regarding participation and conflict of interest for an individual with the knowledge and participation in the business.

Thank you,

Shaye Robbins
24 Heron Point Lane
Kittery Point, ME

Square in Wallingford Square

Shaye Robbins <[REDACTED]>

Tue 1/25/2022 9:43 AM

To:town comments <tcomments@kitteryme.org>;

14. EXECUTIVE SESSION

a. (010222-10) The Kittery Town Council moves go into Executive Session in accordance with 1 M.R.S. § 405(6)(C). To discuss the disposition of the Taylor Building, with members of the Taylor Building Negotiation team

Hello, As the Town Council meets with the Taylor disposition team on 1/24, I would like to ask that retaining the property, removing the building and moving parking from the lot adjacent to Lil's, in equal measure of spaces, to the area of the property which is currently the Taylor building. The area adjacent to Lil's then becomes an urban park. Putting the Square back in Wallingford Square.

The money used to initially purchase the lot that is right now being used for parking, was from the Wetland Restoration Fund (I believe I have the name incorrect) - intended to be used for conservation type purposes- at this time we have the ability to correct and improve upon how that money was initially spent.

As the Town grows a reputation as a destination for good food and interesting arts, a living, the community retains the property of the Taylor building for future use. As future plans regarding parking et al develop, and with communities world wide focusing on changing habits around vehicle use, regional interconnected ride sharing grows, and local use includes walking and biking plans, the community does not lose the future options the site- not the building- provide. And the community living here today, has the advantage of an outdoor year round public space in the down town- well in line with comprehensive plan,

I appreciate this being raised. As I believe this is a decision to be made at the Council, Taylor site (not the building) Committee, and Town wide planning which is why I did not enter an application when uses were discussed. I believe no one member of the community is responsible for making this happen, but that the community entities and staff, and chairs of committees, as well as the tax payers at large, etc. I simply want to know that this is being considered, as our Town has lost important properties in the past.

Thank you for considering this during you executive session.

Shaye Robbins
Kittery Point